

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT
HYDERABAD**

Cr. Bail Appln. No.S-852 of 2026

Applicant : Ayaz Ali son of Muhammad Saffar Rajar
through Ms. Neelam Meer Mehrani,
Advocate.

The State : Ms. Sana Memon, Assistant P.G.

Date of hearing : 31.08.2026
Date of order : 31.08.2026.

ORDER

Jawad Akbar Sarwana, J. Through captioned bail application, applicant named above seeks pre-arrest bail in F.I.R. No.63 of 2026, registered at Police Station, Jamshoro, under Sections 324, 147, 148, 149, 506/2, 504, 337-A(i), 337-F(i) PPC.

2. The brief facts of the prosecution case are that complainant, Muhammad Talib, lodged instant F.I.R alleging a pre-existing dispute and ongoing threats from Members of the Rajar community. According to the complainant, on 08.03.2006 at around 01:15 p.m., while he was traveling towards Jamshoro on a motorcycle accompanied by his sons, Saqib Ali and Aqib Ali, five persons on motorcycles armed with pistols, iron rods, dandas, and sticks intercepted them near Old Petaro Link Road, Sindh University Housing Scheme. It is alleged that accused Ayaz Ali, alongwith co-accused Saleem, Ramzan, and Zohaib, subjected the complainant's son, Aqib Ali, to severe beatings using dandas and iron rods, inflicting injuries on different parts of his body, before fleeing the scene while extending serious threats. The injured Aqib Ali was

initially shifted to LUMHS Jamshoro for medical aid and subsequently referred to Civil Hospital, Hyderabad for further treatment, following which the present F.I.R was registered against the applicant and co-accused.

3. At the very outset, learned Counsel for the applicant submits that during the pendency of this application, the parties have arrived at a genuine compromise voluntarily and have buried their differences. He submits that complainant Muhammad Talib and injured Aaqib have filed their Affidavits of "No Objection" for grant of bail to the applicant, therefore, the interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the applicant may be confirmed.

4. Learned APG after perusing the compromise applications and verifying the identity of the complainant and injured, has extended no objection.

5. Heard and record perused.

6. Upon hearing learned Counsel for the parties, it is observed that the parties have amicably resolved their dispute to ensure peaceful co-existence in the future. The complainant, Muhammad Talib, and the injured, Aaqib, are present in Court and have submitted their respective Affidavits of "No Objection," affirming that they have pardoned the applicant/accused voluntarily, without any coercion, fear or pressure, and expressing no objection to the grant of bail. It is further observed that the compromise extended by the parties appears to be genuine and without any pressure or coercion, which redeems feature of fostering harmony between the parties and that aggrieved party has unequivocally pardoned the applicant/accused, therefore, further incarceration of the applicant/accused would serve no useful purpose.

7. In view of the above, a case for the grant of bail on the ground of compromise is made out. Consequently, this application is allowed and ad-interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the applicant vide order dated 30.06.2026 is confirmed on same terms and conditions.

8. Needless to mention here that the observations made hereinabove are of tentative nature and shall have no influence upon the trial Court to decide the matter on merits.

The Bail Application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Shahid