

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR.
Crl. Bail Application No.S-831 of 2026.

DATE OF HEARING	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-----------------	-------------------------------

Applicant: Gul Muhammad alias Guloo son of Mir Hassan bycaste Soomro (confined in Central Prison Suukur).**Through Mr. Amjad Ali Panhwar Advocate.**

The State: Through **Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar**, D.PG.

Date of hearing & order: **08.10.2026.**

Suresh Kumar J:- Through instant Criminal Bail Application, the applicant/accused Gul Muhammad seeks his post arrest bail in Crime No.105 /2026 for the Offences Punishable U/Ss 377-B 511,337-A(i),337-F(i) PPC registered at Police station Sangi District Sukkur.

2. The facts of the prosecution, as depicted in the FIR, are that complainant Muhammad Sadique Soomro lodged FIR on 23.5.2026 stating therein that on 22.6.2026 the applicant/accused Gul Muhammad came to his house where his wife Sakina Khatoon were in the house and accused asked the complainant to allow his son Muhammad Sajid to accompany him because his eyesight is weak and he allowed his son to go with applicant/accused Gul Muhammad so that he has to receive some amount from his friends from Pano-Aqil town and they left the house. On 23.6.2026 his son returned back and disclosed the complainant that when he went with accused Gul Muhammad and started teasing him and forced him for Zinna and insisted him to take tea at Shan Pump hotel, where he told that he has to make-water he took him to bathroom but he took him behind the hotel where he attempted to commit rape, while taking-of his Shalwar and caused him lathi blows on the resistance, on which he raised cries, which attracted persons available there. Thereafter accused went away leaving the Muhammad Sajid. Thereafter, the complainant appeared at the police station, where he lodged the FIR as stated above.

3. The applicant initially approached the Court of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II (GBV) Sukkur for the grant of bail, which was dismissed by order dated 22.08.2026. Being aggrieved by this dismissal order, the applicant has now approached this Court.

4. I have heard learned counsel for applicant, Learned counsel for complainant and learned D.P.G Sindh who has strongly opposed the grant of bail to the applicant/accused.

5. Having given due consideration to the arguments advanced by the parties' counsel and having perused the material available on record, it is revealed from the perusal of the FIR that the applicant/accused is nominated in the FIR with a specific role of causing injuries and attempting to commit an unnatural offence. The version of the complainant is supported by the victim in his statement recorded under Section 161 of the Cr.P.C., as well as by the medical certificate issued by the concerned Medical Officer, which shows the injuries sustained by the victim, Muhammad Sajid, during his resistance to the alleged offence. Therefore, prima facie, sufficient material is available on record to connect the applicant with the commission of the alleged offence. Moreover, the alleged offence under Section 377-B of the PPC is punishable by imprisonment for life, and its lesser punishment also falls within the ambit of the prohibitory clause of Section 497(1) of the Cr.P.C. No mala fide appears to exist on the part of the complainant to falsely implicate the applicant/accused in this case.

6. For the foregoing reasons I am of the considered view that the applicant/accused has failed to make out his case for grant of bail, consequently his application is dismissed.

7. Needless to say that the above observations are tentative in nature and will not prejudice the case of either party at the time of trial.

JUDGE

Hizbullah

