

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA
C.P No. D-266 of 2026

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE(S) OF JUDGE(S)
------	-------------------------------------

- 1. For orders on office objection.
- 2. For hearing of Main Case.

24.09.2026

Mr. Ali Raza Pathan, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. Mohsin Ali Khan, Assistant Advocate General, Sindh, along
with Inspector Aashique.

-x-x-x-x-x-

It is inter alia contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner participated in the written test conducted by IBA Sukkur on 21.08.2016 at Police Training School, Larkana, for the post of Constable in Police Department, Larkana. He further contended that the petitioner passed the said test and his name was placed at Serial No.94 of the merit list. Consequently, he was called upon to participate in the interview process; however, thereafter, no intimation was given to him with regard to the fate of the interview.

The prayer clause is reproduced as under:-

- a) *That this Honourable Court may be pleased to direct respondents to consider the case of petitioner for his appointment as "CONSTABLE" in view of the fact that he has already passed written test and interview/viva-voce.*
- b) *Grant any other equitable relief.*

We have examined the entire record and find that the petitioner has failed to produce any material or proof establishing that he was successful in the whole process of recruitment so as to claim appointment as Constable. On the contrary, during the course of arguments, it has been contended that the petitioner was deprived of appointment due to an alleged positive report of Hepatitis-C (HCV Antibody). However, the

contents of the memo of petition, including the grounds and prayer clause, do not reflect any such ground relating to Hepatitis-C.

In the circumstances, the petitioner has failed to establish his case, which involves disputed questions of fact and thus falls within the domain of factual controversy. Besides, the instant petition has been filed in the year 2026, whereas the controversy pertains to the recruitment process of 2016, and the petition is, therefore, also hit by laches.

For the foregoing reasons, the instant petition is **dismissed** being misconceived. However, if any fresh cause of action has accrued to the petitioner or any such cause of action has subsequently come to his knowledge, he shall be at liberty to file a fresh petition in accordance with law on the basis thereof.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Zulfiqar