

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR**

*Constitutional Petition No. D-1640 of 2020  
(Mst. Shakeela Shaikh vs. P.O Sindh and others)*

Date of hearing	Order with the signature of the Judge
-----------------	---------------------------------------

*For Direction*

**10.03.2026.**

Mr. Abdul Naeem Pirzada, Advocate for the Petitioner  
Mr. Khuda Bux Chohan, Advocate for Respondents/ SMC  
Mr. Agha Athar Hussain Pathan, Asstt: Advocate General.

-.-.-

In pursuance of the last order, a submission report has been filed by the learned Advocate for the Respondent-SMC stating that the dispute essentially relates to the alleged difference in arrears claimed by the petitioner. It has been contended that the inspection team of the Director General Audit, Local Councils initially assessed a certain amount; however, upon re-examination through audit, the payable amount was determined as Rs. 612,661/- instead of Rs. 743,943/-. According to the respondents, such controversy regarding the determination of arrears involves disputed questions of fact which cannot appropriately be resolved in constitutional jurisdiction.

Upon confrontation with the said position, learned counsel for the petitioner, after due consideration, stated that he is satisfied to seek a remedy before the Appellate Tribunal (Local Councils), Sindh, in order to agitate his claim regarding the difference of arrears before the competent forum. In this regard, he does not press the present constitutional petition.

In view of the above statement, this constitutional petition is disposed of accordingly, with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Appellate Tribunal (Local Councils), Sindh, in accordance with law.

JUDGE

JUDGE