

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Cr. Bail Application No. 295 of 2026

Applicant : **Bakhat Taj** through Mr.Anwar Zaib,
Advocate.

Respondent : The State through Mr.Qamaruddin
Nohri, D.P.G.

Date of hearing : 18.3.2026.

Date of order : 18.3.2026.

ORDER

TASNEEM SULTANA-J.:- Through this Criminal Bail Application, Applicant Bakhat Taj seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.505/2025 registered under Sections 397/34 PPC at P.S. Docks, Karachi. Having been rejected his earlier post arrest Bail Application No.270 of 2026 by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-III, Karachi West, vide order dated 22.01.2026, hence this application for the same concession.

2. Brief facts of the prosecution case are that on 13.11.2025 at about 1445 hours, complainant Abdul Yasir along with his friend Zubair Hussain was returning from Keamari to Baldia when, reached near Nati Jati Pul Port Grand stairs, Karachi, suddenly, two unknown persons riding a motorcycle intercepted them. The accused persons running motorcycle as a result whereof both fell down and thereafter, while armed with TT pistols, threatened them and demanded valuables. Under fear of death, the accused persons snatched from the complainant one Realme C17 mobile phone, a black bag containing cash amounting to Rs.100,000/-, CSD receipts and other documents, while from his companion they snatched cash of Rs.15,000/- and an iPhone X (non-PTA), and fled away. The complainant thereafter reported the matter to his department and lodged the FIR.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case with malafide intent and ulterior motives; that the applicant is not nominated in the FIR and has been involved subsequently after his arrest in another case; that the identification parade was conducted with delay 05 days after his arrest , which creates doubt regarding its credibility and makes the case one of further inquiry; that no recovery has been effected from the possession of the applicant and he has

already been granted bail in the main case, therefore, he is entitled to concession of bail.

4. Conversely, learned Deputy Prosecutor General for the State opposes the application and contends that the applicant is involved in the robbery committed under the show of pistol; that he was duly identified by the complainant during identification parade conducted before a Judicial Magistrate and specific role has been attributed to him; that the applicant also pointed out the place of occurring, which corroborates the prosecution case, therefore, he does not deserve the concession of bail and prays for dismissal of the application.

5. Heard. Record perused.

6. At tentative assessment, the allegation against the applicant is that he, alongwith his accomplice, committed robbery at gun point and deprived the complainant and his companion Zubair Hussain of their belongings including mobile phones and cash amounts, i.e. Realme C17 mobile, iPhone X (Non-PTA), cash of Rs.100,000/- and Rs.15,000/-.

7. The record reflects that during the course of investigation the involvement of the applicant surfaced and he was subsequently arrested; an identification parade was conducted before a Magistrate wherein the complainant identified the applicant. In such circumstances, the material available on record, prima-facie connects the applicant with the commission of alleged offence and does not bring case within the ambit of further inquiry within the meaning of Section 497(2) Cr.P.C.; therefore, the applicant is not entitled to the concession of bail at this stage.

8. In view of the above facts and circumstances, I am of the tentative view that the applicant has failed to make out a case for grant of bail. Consequently, the instant bail application is dismissed.

9. The observations made herein are tentative in nature and shall not prejudice the case of either party at trial.

JUDGE