

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P. No.D-783 of 2026

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

For orders on office objection.  
For hearing of MA No.3192/2026.  
For hearing of main case.

30.04.2026

Mr. Naeemuddin Sahito advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro Additional A.G. Sindh along  
with SIP Muhammad Arshad PS A-Section Nawabshah,  
District Shaheed Benazirabad.

The petitioner, through the instant constitutional petition, seeks the quashment of FIR No.107/2026, registered at P.S. A-Section Nawabshah, District Shaheed Benazirabad, for alleged offences under sections 364-A, 365-B, 506 (2) and 34 PPC. The said FIR has been lodged by respondent No. 5, namely Khalid Hussain, regarding the alleged abduction of his daughter, Mst. Konain Zehra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the alleged abductee, Mst. Konain Zehra, contracted a marriage of her own free will with one Farhad on 13.04.2026 and that the impugned FIR has been registered falsely and with mala fide intention. He has placed on record a statement along with certain documents, including Criminal Miscellaneous Application No.1200/2026 filed by respondent No. 5, as well as the order dated 24.04.2026 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge/MCTC, Shaheed Benazirabad. A perusal of the said order reveals that the alleged detainee was produced before the Court by the authorities of Safe House, Nawabshah; her statement was recorded and upon expressing her willingness, she was handed over to her father/respondent No.5. The Investigating Officer was further directed to have her statement recorded under section 164 Cr.P.C. before the learned Magistrate concerned.

The report submitted by respondent No. 3, SHO P.S. A-Section Nawabshah, reflects that the matter is presently under investigation.

In the circumstances, it is observed that the investigation is still in progress. Upon completion of the investigation and submission of

challan, the petitioner shall have an alternate remedy available under sections 249-A or 265-K Cr.P.C., as the case may be. No exceptional or extraordinary circumstances have been brought on record which would warrant the exercise of constitutional jurisdiction for quashment of the FIR at this stage. Consequently, the instant petition, is **dismissed** along with listed application.

JUDGE

JUDGE

**\*Abdullah Channa/PS\***