

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA

C. P No.D-618 of 2026

|                    |                                       |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| DATE<br>OF HEARING | ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF HON'BLE JUDGE |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|

1. For orders on M.A.No.3203/2026.
2. For orders on office objection 'A'.
3. For orders on M.A.No.3204/2026.
4. For hearing of main case.

12.08.2026

Mr. Nazeer Ahmed Kolachi, advocate for the petitioner.

-.-.-.-.-

Initially the petitioner had filed C.P.No.D-528 of 2023 which was disposed of in the following terms :

*"...Therefore, the respondents' competent authority may be directed to review the petitioner-widow's case for a family pension provided her deceased husband had the necessary length of service to qualify for such service benefits. If this is the position of the case the respondents' competent authority must review the petitioner-widow's family pension claim, provided her deceased husband met the requisite length of service requirements. This review, including a hearing for the petitioner, must conclude with a speaking order within one month of receiving this order."*

Consequently, the case of the petitioner was fully discussed in review and the competent authority reached at the conclusion that the petitioner's deceased husband Ghulam Ali was appointed on 28.01.1990 and remained in service until his demise on 18.04.1995 thereby rendering a total service length of 4 years 5 months and 10 days, while as per rules a minimum of 10 years of qualifying service length is mandatory to establish an entitlement for a family pension. The service rendered by the deceased employee falls short by 5 years, 2 months and 20 days. Therefore, the petitioner does not qualify to receive pensionary benefits.

Such letter is also available at pages-51 & 52, which the official respondents had submitted in compliance report of order dated 07.08.2025 in respect of earlier order passed by this Court in C.P.No.D-528/2023. However, at this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press instant petition, which is **dismissed as not pressed** along with listed applications.

Let copy of this order be communicated to learned Additional Advocate General Sindh, for information.

**Judge**

**Judge**

M.Yousuf Panhwar\*\*