

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA

Present:
Mr. Justice Riazat Ali Sahar
Mr. Justice Ali Haider ‘Ada’

Constitution Petition No. D-443 of 2024
[Aslam Ali Pathan Versus Province of Sindh and others]

Petitioner : Aslam Ali son of Bashir Ahmed Pathan (Late), through Mr. Munawar Abbas Chandio, Advocate.

Date of Hearing : 11-08-2026

Date of Order : 11-08-2026

ORDER

RIAZAT ALI SAHAR, J:- Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner’s father, namely Bashir Ahmed, was serving in the Police Department at District Larkana and, after attaining the age of superannuation, retired from service and subsequently died on 15.02.1999. He further submits that the Petitioner approached Respondent No.2 for appointment in the Police Department and submitted his application pursuant to an advertisement. However, the Petitioner did not appear in the written test and thereafter filed C.P. No.D-2502 of 2014, which was disposed of vide order dated 06.08.2014, whereby the Respondents were directed to issue a call-up notice to the Petitioner for appearance in the written test.

2. Learned counsel further submits that the Petitioner claims appointment in the Police Department on the basis of being the son of a police employee under the alleged “son quota”. However, he has not been able to point out any law, rule, regulation or policy under which a son of an employee, who had already retired from service and thereafter died, is entitled to appointment. In support of his contention, learned counsel has relied upon the order dated 02.09.2025 passed by the Honourable Supreme Court of Pakistan in C.A. No.113-K/2024 relating to deceased quota.

3. We have heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and perused the record.

4. Admittedly, the father of the Petitioner had retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation and died thereafter on 15.02.1999. The Petitioner has not been able to show any provision of law, rule, regulation or policy under which he has a right to seek appointment in the Police Department merely because his father was a police employee.

5. The concept of deceased quota is different from the claim made by the Petitioner. No provision has been shown to us which provides for appointment of a son of an employee who had retired from service and thereafter died. The order dated 06.08.2014 passed in C.P. No.D-2502 of 2014, whereby the Respondents were directed to issue a call-up notice to the Petitioner for the written test, also does not confer any right of appointment upon him.

6. In the circumstances, the Petitioner has failed to establish any legal or enforceable right in his favour. The instant petition is, therefore, misconceived and not maintainable.

Accordingly, the instant petition stands dismissed.

JUDGE

JUDGE