

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Criminal Bail Application No.1511 of 2026

Applicant : Muhammad Ullah son of Shireen Gul,
through Ms. Azmat Gul, Advocate

Complainant : Kaleem Khan son of Alam Khan.

Respondent : The State
Through Mr. Sharafuddin Kanhar, APG,
Sindh.

Date of hearing : 30.07.2026

Date of order : 30.07.2024

ORDER

AMJAD ALI SAHITO, J -- Through this Bail Application, the applicant/accused seeks pre-arrest bail in Crime No.259 of 2025 registered under Sections 302 PPC at Police Station Orangi Town, Karachi, after his bail plea has been declined by the learned Xth Additional Sessions, Karachi-West, vide order dated 22.09.2025.

2. The details and particulars of the FIR are already available in the bail application and FIR, same could be gathered from the copy of FIR attached with such application, hence, needs not to reproduce the same hereunder.

3. Per learned counsel the applicant/accused is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case; that no eye witness has been shown in the FIR for which the applicant/accused has committed offence. She further submits that before learned trial Court, relatives of the deceased Mst. Sonia has raises no objection for confirmation of the bail. As such, he is entitled for concession of bail.

4. On the other hand, learned A.P.G, Sindh vehemently opposed for grant of bail on the ground that two eye witnesses namely Karim Khan and Shazia have fully implicated the present applicant/accused with the commission of an offence and the police have also collected CDR report which shows that the applicant/accused was present at the place of incident. He relied upon the case laws reported as 2016 CrL LJ Note 73 [Muhammad Sadique @ Sadique & 2 others vs. The State], PLJ 2023 Cr.C.397 [Haji Arshad Mehmood vs. Farrukh Imtiaz Khokhar, etc.], 2024 SHC 1246 [Mst. Erum Naz w/o Javed & another vs. The State], 2025 MLD

381 [Muhammad Ali vs. The State], 2023 SHC 574 [Saadat Ahmed & Others vs. The State], 2021 SCMR 5, 2022 PSC (Crl.) 788 [Abu Bakar Siddique vs. The State]. He lastly prayed for dismissal of the bail application.

5. Heard and perused the record.

6. A young girl namely Sonia, aged about 22 years was allegedly murdered by the present applicant/accused when she left the house of her husband and thereafter she was recovered from District Multan, Punjab and subsequently was murdered in the house of the applicant/accused. Further, two eye-witnesses, Karim Khan and Shazia have implicated the applicant in the commission of alleged offence. Police have also collected CDR which indicates the presence of the applicant/accused at the place of occurrence. The name of the applicant/accused is appearing in the FIR with specific role. The ocular evidence finds support from the medical evidence. The offence in which the applicant has been charged falls within the prohibitory clause of Section 497 Cr.P.C wherein punishment provided by the law is death. At bail stage only tentative assessment is to be made out, prima face there is sufficient material available on record to connect the applicant/accused with the commission of the alleged offence.

7. Learned counsel for the applicant/accused has failed to make out a case for grant of bail in terms of subsection 2 of Section 497 Cr.P.C. Accordingly, the instant Bail Application is **dismissed**. The interim pre-arrest bail granted to them vide order dated 18.05.2026 is hereby **recalled**.

8. Needless to mention here that the observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not influence the learned trial Court while deciding the case of the applicants on merits.

JUDGE

Hyder/PS*