

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS

Cr. Bail Application No. S-400 of 2026

Applicant: Anwar s/o Bachal Chandio,
Through Mr. Francis Lucas Khokhar,
advocate.

Respondent: The state through Mr. Neel Parkash, learned
D.P.G.

Date of Hearing: 31.07.2026

Date of Order: 31.07.2026

O R D E R

Khalid Hussain Shahani, J: The applicant Anwar Chandio, through the instant criminal bail application, seeks his enlargement on post-arrest bail in Crime No.110/2025, registered under Sections 395/34 P.P.C at P.S Tando Jan Muhammad, having been denied that relief by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Mirpurkhas, vide order dated 02.07.2026.

2. The F.I.R and its material particulars stand fully articulated in the bail application itself, and their reproduction here would serve no purpose beyond needless repetition.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant argued with conviction that his client stands wholly innocent, ensnared in this prosecution through mala fide design and ulterior motive. He drew this Court's pointed attention to a glaring and unexplained delay of nine months between the alleged occurrence on 15.10.2024 and the lodging of the F.I.R on 22.07.2025, a delay the complainant party has made no attempt whatsoever to justify. He further submitted, with considerable force, that the co-accused, Zubair Maher, has already been granted the concession of pre-arrest bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Mirpurkhas, vide order dated 16.09.2025, thereby squarely attracting the rule of

consistency in the applicant's favour. On this cumulative basis, learned counsel pressed for the grant of bail.

4. Learned D.P.G for the State, upon due instructions, candidly and without demur, raised no objection to the grant of bail.

5. This Court has given the matter its anxious consideration, having heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned D.P.G at length, and having scrutinized the record with due diligence. It is manifest that the co-accused, Zubair Maher, already stands enlarged on pre-arrest bail vide the order dated 16.09.2025, and that the applicant's case, far from being weaker, stands on parity, if not on a footing more compelling still. The rule of consistency, firmly entrenched in our jurisprudence, admits of no principled distinction between the two cases and compels an identical result. Accordingly, the applicant/accused is admitted to post-arrest bail, subject to furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lac only) along with a P.R. bond in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court.

6. The instant Criminal Bail Application is disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE

Chander Kumar