

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS

Cr. Bail Application No. S-350 of 2026

Applicant: Aman s/o Amir Hussain,
Through Mr. Sher Muhammad Dahri,
advocate.

Respondent: The state through Mr. Neel Parkash,
learned D.P.G.

Date of Hearing: 07.07.2026

Date of Order: 07.07.2026

O R D E R

Khalid Hussain Shahani, J: By means of the instant criminal bail application, the applicant, Aman s/o Amir Hussain, seeks enlargement on post-arrest bail in Crime No.73/2026, registered under Sections 395 and 336 P.P.C (as per the challan, Sections 395, 427 and 435 P.P.C.) at P.S, Sanghar, consequent upon the dismissal of his earlier application for bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Sanghar, vide order dated 08.05.2026.

2. The particulars and contents of the F.I.R having already been set out with sufficient clarity in the bail application and the F.I.R itself, this Court finds it unnecessary to reproduce the same herein.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant submitted that his client is wholly innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case owing to mala fide intentions and ulterior motives born of pre-existing enmity. He submitted further that although the alleged incident is stated to have occurred on 22.03.2026, the F.I.R came to be lodged only on 29.03.2026, reflecting an unexplained delay of eight days for which the complainant party has offered no plausible justification. He additionally submitted that the co-accused, Muhammad Bilawal, has already been extended the

concession of post-arrest bail by this Court vide order dated 02.07.2026, and that the rule of consistency squarely applies to the case of the present applicant. On these grounds, learned counsel prayed that bail be granted to the applicant/accused.

4. Learned D.P.G for the State, upon instructions, candidly stated that he has no objection to the grant of bail to the applicant/accused.

5. This Court has heard learned counsel for the applicant/accused as well as learned D.P.G for the State at length and has examined the record with due care. It emerges that the co-accused, Muhammad Bilawal s/o Gulzar, already stands enlarged on post-arrest bail by virtue of this Court's order dated 02.07.2026, and the case of the present applicant/accused is found to rest on parity, if not a stronger footing. Applying the well-settled rule of consistency, this Court is of the considered view that the applicant/accused is likewise entitled to the concession of post-arrest bail. Accordingly, the applicant/accused is admitted to post-arrest bail, subject to furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lac only) together with a P.R. bond in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court.

6. The instant Criminal Bail Application stands disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE

“Chander Kumar”