

# **HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD**

## **Cr. Bail Application No.S-703 of 2026.**

*[Sarmad Aijaz alias Naeem Vs. The State]*

Applicant : Sarmad Aijaz alias Naeem through Mr. Irshad Ali Khoso, Advocate.

Respondent : The State through Mr. Siraj Ahmed Bijarani, Assistant Prosecutor General, Sindh.

Date of Hearing : 03.07.2026.

Date of Decision : 03.07.2026.

## **ORDER**

**MIRAN MUHAMMAD SHAH, J :-** The Applicant above-named seeks post-arrest Bail in F.I.R No.12/2025, registered at Police Station Talhar, District Badin for offence punishable under Section 462/H2(a), 186, 506-PPC. Previously, he applied for the same relief before learned 1<sup>st</sup> Additional Sessions Judge, Badin but the request was declined vide order dated 25.05.2026.

2. The allegations against the Applicant are that on 24.01.2025, the officials of HESCO conducted an inspection at Azhar Shah Pole, situated near Surkhri Imam Bargah, Ward No. 4 City Talhar. During the inspection, an unauthorized electricity connection ("Kunda") was allegedly found installed from the transformer, leading to the house of the present Applicant. Therefore, the present FIR was registered against the Applicant.

3. Learned counsel for applicant/accused argued at length and mainly contended that the present applicant/accused is being victimized due to political rivalry; that the applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in different other cases for the same reason. He prayed that applicant/accused may be admitted to bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Assistant Prosecutor General vehemently opposed the bail application and contended that the Applicant/accused has a criminal history involving eighteen (18) cases, including the present one. He further submitted that several other cases of a similar nature have also been

registered against the Applicant/accused; therefore, he does not deserve the concession of bail at this stage.

5. Heard learned counsel for parties and perused the record with their assistance.
6. Despite of the fact that several cases have been lodged as criminal record of the present applicant/accused, the offence that has been alleged to have been committed is of minor<sup>in</sup> nature and does not fall within the prohibitory clause and carries punishment of three (03) years. The question of so many cases against him due to political rivalry cannot be ruled out. The case has been challaned and present applicant/accused is no more required for further inquiry.
7. In view of above, the present applicant/accused is admitted to bail subject to his furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.100,000/- (Rupees One Lac only) and P.R Bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.
8. Needless to mention here that the observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not influence the trial Court while deciding the case of the applicant/accused on merits.

**SD/-**  
**MIRAN MUHAMMAD SHAH**  
**JUDGE**