

Order Sheet
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI
CrI. Bail Application No.857 of 2026
(Tabish v. the State)

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

For hearing of bail application

Dated of hearing
& short order: 18.06.2026
Date of reasons: 19.06.2026

Mr. Arshad Khan Advocate for the Applicant
Mr. Qamaruddin Norhi, DPG for the State
Ms. Rukhsana Umar Advocate for the Complainant

Omar Sial, J. Tabish, the applicant who seeks post-arrest bail, on 28.12.2025, allegedly stabbed Rashid four times. He and his accomplices then beat Zahid Ali, after which Tabish stabbed him as well. Rashid's mother attempted to intervene, but she too was assaulted. Tabish was arrested, and FIR No. 532 of 2025 was registered on the complaint of Zahid Ali. The trial is pending adjudication before the learned 8th Additional Sessions Judge, Karachi South.

2. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the complainant, and the learned Additional Prosecutor General. The learned Additional Prosecutor General has supported the impugned order.

3. Learned counsel in defense of his client and in support of his plea that this Court grants him bail has made two submissions: (i) the prosecution witnesses are related inter se, (ii) I should delve deep into the evidence recorded till now at the trial. He has said these two things repeatedly, even when requested to avoid repetition. Each request has been met with aggression. I have shown judicial restraint in not imposing costs on the learned counsel for wasting the time of this court to the detriment of the hundreds of litigants whose grievances this Court has to address. It is expected of advocates to help the criminal justice system rather than slow it

down by telling a court that all his rights under the sun are being violated for not hearing each of his submissions at least five times.

4. As regards the submissions made by the learned counsel. It is well established that the sole fact that witnesses are related is not sufficient to discard their testimonies. It is also a well-settled principle that a Court will not delve deeply into the analysis of the evidence when hearing a bail application. If the applicant believes there is no possibility of a conviction, there are adequate remedies available under the Code. Upon a tentative assessment, there is sufficient evidence in the application, in the form of eyewitnesses, that establishes a nexus between Tabish and the crime complained of.

5. The above are the reasons for my short dismissal order issued yesterday.

JUDGE