

*ORDER SHEET*  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR**

*Constitution Petition No.D-1956 of 2025*  
*(Muhammad Aleem Vs P.O Sindh & others)*

DATE OF HEARING	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-----------------	-------------------------------

**Before;**

***Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J;***  
***Abdul Hamid Bhurgari, J;***

Mr. Rehmat Ali Shaikh, Advocate for petitioner  
Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Naich, Assistant A.G Sindh

**Date of hearing and order: 10-06-2026.**

**O R D E R.**

**Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J;** Petitioner Muhammad Aleem has filed this constitutional petition under Article 199 of the Constitution, seeking directions for his appointment against a post reserved for differently abled persons.

2. Learned AAG submits that the petitioner appeared before the District Selection/Recruitment Committee on 17.11.2025 and was duly considered; however, he could not be recommended for appointment. It is further stated that if any vacancy arises in the future, his case shall be considered strictly in accordance with law and the applicable rules.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner expressed satisfaction with the statement so made.

4. This Court has considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties on the subject issue and perused the material placed on record.

5. It is well-settled that matters relating to recruitment and appointment fall within the exclusive domain of the competent selection authorities, and constitutional jurisdiction is ordinarily not to be invoked to substitute or review merit-based determinations, unless mala fide, arbitrariness, or violation of law is established, none of which has been shown in the present case.

5. The petitioner, having already been afforded due consideration in a lawful selection process, no further direction for appointment can be issued at this stage.

6. However, it is clarified that the respondents shall ensure that the petitioner's case, being within the reserved quota for differently abled persons, is considered fairly, transparently, and strictly in accordance with law against any future available vacancy.

7. In view of the above, and with the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is disposed of in the above terms.

**J U D G E**

**J U D G E**

M. Ali\*