

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI**  
**Cr. Bail Application No.3569 of 2025**

Applicant : Asif-ur-Rehman s/o Fazal Manan,  
Through Mr. Muneer Ahmed, Advocate

Respondent : The State  
Through Mr. Zahoor Ahmed Shah, APG

Date of hearing : 04.05.2026  
Date of order : 04.05.2026

**ORDER**

**MIRAN MUHAMMAD SHAH, J:-** Through this bail application, applicant Asif-ur-Fehman, seeks pre-arrest bail in Crime No.484/2025, registered at Police Station DOCKS Karachi, for the offence punishable under Sections 324 and 34, PPC. Prior to this, the applicant had approached the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-X, Karachi West, for the same relief but it was declined vide order dated.22.12.2025. He was granted interim pre-arrest bail by this court on 24.12.2025, which is now fixed for confirmation or otherwise.

2. The facts of the case are need not to be reproduce herein, as the copy of FIR is attached with the bail application and the facts are also stated in detail therein.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as learned APG and perused the record.

4. It is an admitted position that the injury sustained by the complainant is on a non-vital part of the body. Prima facie, the ingredients of Section 324, P.P.C. appear to be doubtful, and its applicability can only be determined at trial after recording of evidence, particularly in view of the nature of the alleged injury. It is also evident from the record that the parties are inimical to each other, which fact has also been acknowledged by the complainant in the FIR by stating that her brother, Muzammil, had contracted

marriage with a girl of the applicant's side. Learned counsel for the complainant has also pointed out that a case regarding the abduction of the said girl, bearing FIR No. 180/2025 under Section 364-A P.P.C., had already been registered against the complainant party prior to the lodging of the present FIR. While enmity may provide a motive for the commission of an offence, it equally creates the possibility of false implication. Moreover, all the witnesses are related inter se and no independent witness has been associated in the case, which further casts doubt upon the prosecution's case. In view of the above circumstances, the case falls within the ambit of further inquiry as envisaged under Section 497 Cr.P.C., thus entitling the applicant to the concession of bail. The case has already been challaned, and the accused is no longer required for investigation. After obtaining interim pre-arrest bail, the applicant has been regularly attending the court proceedings, and nothing has been brought on record to suggest that he has misused the concession of bail. In such circumstances that applicant has successfully made out a case for grant of bail. Accordingly, the interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the applicant vide order dated 24.12.2025 is hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

5. Needless to mention here that the observation made herein above are tentative in nature and would not influence the trial court while deciding the case of the applicant on merits. However, in case the applicant misuses the concession of bail in any manner, the trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the same after giving him notice, in accordance with the law.

Criminal bail application stands disposed of.

**JUDGE**

