

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

**Cr. Bail Application No.2652 of 2025**

**Cr. Bail Application No.2653 of 2025**

**Cr. Bail Application No. 2654 of 2025**

Applicant : Gul Wahid s/o Fazal Wahid  
Through Mr. Muhammad Rafique Buledi  
Advocate

Respondent : The State  
Through Ms. Robina, DPG

Date of hearing : 08.04.2026  
Date of order : 08.04.2026

## **ORDER**

**MIRAN MUHAMMAD SHAH, J:-** This order disposes of three criminal bail applications filed by the same applicant, namely Gul Wahid son of Fazal Wahid, seeking post-arrest bail. B.A.No.265/2025 arises out of Crime No.368/2024, u/s 392, 397 and 34 PPC, PS Mominabad, Cr.B.A.No.2653/2025 arising out of Crime No.369/2024, u/s 23(I)(A) SAA 2013, PS Mominabad and Cr.B.A.No.2654/2025 arising out Crime No.2024, u/s 392, 397, 34 PPC., PS Mominabad. Since in all three applications/Crimes involved applicant is same and registered at the same police station i.e. PS Mominabad, therefore, for the sake of convenience, consistency, and to avoid conflicting findings, all these applications are being decided through this single consolidated order. It is also pertinent to mention that the earlier bail applications filed by the applicant for the same relief, bearing Nos.4737/2025, 4738/2025 and 4736/2025, were dismissed through separate two orders by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-III, Karachi West, vide orders dated 19.09.2025.

2. The facts of the case, for the purpose of deciding these bail applications, are that on 24.07.2024, the present applicant, along with his companion, allegedly robbed a mobile phone from the complainant, namely

Sharafat, in Crime No.367/2024. Thereafter, they allegedly committed another offence and robbed cash amounting to Rs. 8,000/- as well as a mobile phone from complainant, Kamran, in Crime No.368/2024. Subsequently, while fleeing from the scene, they were apprehended by the public, who also subjected them to maltreatment and later handed them over to the police. The police also recovered a pistol from the possession of the accused.

3            Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned DPG, as well as perused the record. It transpires from the record that the present applicant was allegedly apprehended at the spot by the local public while committing the offence. It has further come on record that soon after committing one crime, the applicant committed another similar crime, whereupon the public caught hold of him and handed him over to the police. The applicant is involved in more than one case of similar nature registered at the same police station. Moreover, the weapon of offence has also been recovered from his possession at the time of arrest. Although the snatched articles, i.e. mobile phone and cash, have not been recovered from the applicant, learned DPP has explained that due to the intervention of the public and the scuffle which ensued at the time of apprehension, the snatched articles were lost and recovery could not be effected. It is also significant to note that there is no material available on record to suggest any malafide on the part of the complainant or the police to falsely implicate the applicant in the present cases. The complainant has specifically nominated and identified the applicant, which lends further support to the prosecution version at this stage. Furthermore, the nature of allegations, the manner in which the offences were allegedly committed, and the fact that the applicant appears to be involved in repeated incidents of similar nature prima facie reflect a

tendency of habitual conduct. Such offences are rising in the society and require to be dealt with firmly in accordance with law.

4. Keeping in view the overall facts and circumstances of the case, sufficient material is available on record connecting the applicant with the commission of the alleged offences. Therefore, at this stage, the case of the applicant does not call for further inquiry within the meaning of Section 497(2), Cr.P.C., nor he has been able to make out any ground for grant of post-arrest bail. Accordingly, all these bail applications are dismissed. However, the learned trial Court is directed to proceed with the matter expeditiously and conclude the trial preferably within a period of two months, strictly in accordance with law. A compliance report be in this regard be submitted to this Court through MIT.

5. Needless to mention here that the observations made herein above are tentative in nature and would not influence the trial court while deciding the case of the applicant on merits.

Office is directed to place assigned copy of this order in the captioned connected matter.

**JUDGE**

