

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI**  
**Cr. Bail Application No.2638 of 2025**

Applicant : Syed Muhammad Umair Ahmed s/o Syed  
Muhammad Ahmed Ali,  
Through M/s. Sohail Ahmed Memon and  
Hasnain Ali, Advocates

Respondent : The State  
Through Ms. Robina,  
Deputy Prosecutor General Sindh

Date of hearing : 07.04.2026  
Date of order : 07.04.2026

**ORDER**

**MIRAN MUHAMMAD SHAH, J:-** Through captioned criminal bail application, applicant Syed Muhammad Umair, seeks pre-arrest bail in Crime No.507 of 2025, registered at P.S Sir Syed Central, Karachi, for the offence punishable under Sections 302/34 PPC. He had approached the learned Additional Sessions Judge-VII/MCTC-02, Karachi Central, with the same plea, but it was declined, vide order dated 30.09.2025, passed on Bail Application No.2026/2025. He was admitted to interim pre-arrest bail by this court, vide order dated 03.10.2025, and the matter is now fixed for confirmation of the same or otherwise.

2. The facts of the case are need not to be reproduce herein, as the copy of FIR is attached with the bail application and the facts are also stated in detail therein.

3. Heard and record perused.

4. It is evident from the prosecution's case that there is no eye witness of the incident connecting the applicant/accused with the alleged murder of the complainant's husband, namely Ali Asghar. According to the complainant, on 16.08.2025 at about 10:00 a.m., she received a telephone

call from her cousin Kashif, who informed her that he had come to know through a WhatsApp group message that the dead body of her husband had been found in a drum at Muhammad Shah Graveyard, within the jurisdiction of PS Sir Syed. The complainant who is also not eye witness alleged in the FIR that on the previous night, her husband had left home on his motorcycle and subsequently sent her a text message stating that he had been taken away by the applicant, Umair, along with his brother, and that he would switch off his mobile phone as they were not allowing him to continue the conversation. The record also reflects that there existed a financial dispute between the applicant and the deceased, and both civil and criminal litigation are pending between the parties. In such circumstances, the case of the applicant appears to be one of further inquiry. The Investigating Officer, who is present in Court, has submitted that earlier supplementary challan had been submitted under 'A' Class, which was already decided by the learned Magistrate. Therefore, submission of a fresh challan is not warranted. Furthermore, it is an admitted position that no weapon of offence has been recovered, and the forensic report of the complainant's mobile phone has also not been obtained, which further supports the view that the matter calls for further inquiry. Moreover, the challan has already been submitted, the applicant is no longer required for further investigation, and he has been regularly attending the Court proceedings.

5. In view of the above circumstances, the applicant has succeeded in making out a case for confirmation of pre-arrest bail. Consequently, the interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the applicant is hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

6. Needless to mention here that the observation made herein above are tentative in nature and would not influence the trial court while deciding

the case of the applicant on merits. However, in case the applicant misuses the concession of bail in any manner, the trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the same after giving him notice, in accordance with the law.

Criminal bail application stands disposed of.

**JUDGE**

Suleman Khan/PA

