

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI
Cr. Bail Application No.1353 of 2026

Applicant : Syed Jahanzaib Shah s/o Muhammad Qasim
Through M/S Naseer Shah and Kabir Shah Advocates

Respondent : The State
Through Mr. Dur Muhammad Shah, DAG

Date of hearing : 14.05.2026
Date of order : 14.05.2026

ORDER

MIRAN MUHAMMAD SHAH, J:- Through this bail application, the applicant/accused Syed Jahanzaib Shah s/o Muhammad Qasim, seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.29/2026, registered at PS Commercial Banking Circle FIA Karachi, under section 4, 23 FER Act 1947 r/w 109 PPC. Earlier his application for the same relief was dismissed by the learned Sessions Judge, Karachi West, vide order dated 30.04.2026.

2. The facts of the case need not to be reproduce herein, as the copy of FIR is attached with the bail application and the facts are also stated in detail therein.

3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, as well as learned DAG and perused the record.

4. As per the contents of the FIR, Irani currency amounting to Rs.1.45 billion is alleged to have been recovered from the possession of the applicant. It transpires that there are material discrepancies between the contents of the FIR and the recovery memo. According to the FIR, the applicant was apprehended from a bus, and during the search of the bus as well as his personal search, mobile phones and Irani currency were allegedly recovered from his possession. However, as per the arrest and recovery

memo, the applicant was seen alighting from a bus and moving in a suspicious manner, carrying red and yellow plastic bags in his right hand, and was apprehended on suspicion. Upon search, mobile phones and Iranian currency were recovered from his possession. These material discrepancies in the FIR and the recovery memo create doubt in the prosecution case, and such benefit of doubt at this stage, goes in favour of the applicant for the purpose of bail. No other independent or cogent material is available on record to prima facie connect the applicant with the alleged offence. Even otherwise, the offence carries a maximum punishment of up to five years and thus does not fall within the prohibitory clause and in such cases bail is a rule and refusal an exception. The challan has already been submitted and the applicant is no longer required for further investigation. Therefore, his further detention would serve no useful purpose. In these circumstances, the applicant has made out a case for grant of post-arrest bail. Accordingly, he is admitted to post-arrest bail subject to his furnishing surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) and P.R. bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

5. Needless to mention here that the observation made herein above are tentative in nature and would not influence the trial court while deciding the case of the applicant on merits.

Criminal bail application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

