

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI
Cr. Bail Application No.1115 of 2026

Applicant : Nadeem s/o Muhammad Hussain
Through Mr. Maqbool-ur-Rehman
Advocate

Respondent : The State
Through Mr. Muhammad Mohsin Mangi,
Assistant Prosecutor General Sindh

Date of hearing : 11.05.2026
Date of order : 11.05.2026

ORDER

MIRAN MUHAMMAD SHAH, J:- Through this application the applicant/accused Nadeem s/o Muhammad Hussain, seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.70/2026, registered at Police Station Ibrahim Hyderi Karachi, under Sections 397, 392 and 34 PPC. His earlier application for the same relief was dismissed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-III, Malir Karachi, vide order dated 02.04.2026.

2. The facts of the case need not to be reproduce herein, as the copy of FIR is attached with the bail application and the facts are also stated in detail therein.

3 Heard learned counsel for the applicant, as well as learned A.P.G., and perused the record.

4. From perusal of the record, it transpires that the present applicant has not been assigned any specific role of being armed with any weapon during the alleged incident. Although it is alleged by the prosecution that immediately after the occurrence the police chased and apprehended all the accused persons and allegedly recovered the snatched mobile phone from the possession of the present applicant, yet admittedly no independent private person was associated with the recovery proceedings. The absence of

independent witnesses creates serious doubt upon the genuineness of the alleged recovery. It is yet to be determined at trial, after recording of evidence, whether the alleged recovery was actually effected from the possession of the applicant or the same has been planted upon him. Learned APG concedes that except present case, no other criminal case has been reported against the applicant. It is also an admitted position that the investigation has already been completed and challan has been submitted before the competent Court; therefore, the further detention of the applicant would serve no useful purpose. The applicant is no more required for investigation. Moreover, the case of the applicant calls for further inquiry within the meaning of Section 497(2), Cr.P.C., In these circumstances, the applicant is admitted to post-arrest bail subject to furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.100,000/- (Rupees One Hundred Thousand only) and P.R. bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

5. Needless to mention here that the observation made herein above are tentative in nature and would not influence the trial court while deciding the case of the applicant on merits.

Criminal bail application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

