

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI**  
**Cr. Bail Application No.1171 of 2026**

Applicants : Maroof Ali Shah s/o Shabbir Ali Shah  
Through Mr. Masood Ahmed Junejo,  
Advocate

Respondent : The State  
Through Mr. Ali Raza Abbasi, APG  
a/w complainant

Date of hearing : 12.05.2026  
Date of order : 12.05.2026

**ORDER**

**MIRAN MUHAMMAD SHAH, J:-** Through this application, applicant Maroof Ali Shah s/o Shabbir Ali Shah, seeks pre-arrest bail in Crime No.164/2026, registered at P.S Preedy Karachi South, for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 468 and 471 PPC. Earlier the applicant approached to the court of Additional Sessions Judge-X, Karachi South for the same relief but same was declined vide order dated 15.04.2026. Applicant was granted interim pre-arrest bail by this court on 21.04.2026, which is now fixed for confirmation or otherwise.

2. The facts of the case need not to be reproduce herein, as the copy of FIR is attached with the bail application and the facts are also stated in detail therein.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant, complainant who is present in person, as well as learned APG and perused the record.

4. From perusal of the record, it appears that the allegation against the present applicant is that he submitted fake documents as surety before the learned Accountability Court No.1, Karachi. During arguments, learned counsel for the applicant states that the subject documents allegedly submitted as surety do not belong to the present applicant and appear to have

been manipulated by some unknown person. He further pointed out that the original documents of the applicant's property reflect the measurement as 120 sq.ft, whereas the disputed documents show the measurement of the subject property 1200 sq.ft," which prima facie indicates that the said documents do not belong to the applicant. This fact has also been admitted by the complainant. The Investigating Officer, present before the Court, has submitted that interim challan has been submitted wherein the present applicant has not been nominated as an accused. He further stated that the investigation is still in progress for tracing the actual culprits who allegedly committed forgery and fraud with the Court by preparing and using fabricated documents. At this stage, no sufficient incriminating material has been brought on record connecting the present applicant with the commission of the alleged offence. The investigation, to the extent of the present applicant, appears to have been concluded and no useful purpose would be served by declining his bail. In these circumstances, the applicant has made out a case for confirmation of interim pre-arrest bail. Consequently, the interim pre-arrest bail granted to the applicant vide order dated 21.04.2026 is hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions. The applicant is, however, directed to fully cooperate with the Investigating Officer as well as with the learned trial Court.

5. Needless to mention here that the observations made herein above are tentative in nature and would not influence the trial court while deciding he case of the applicant on merits.

Criminal bail application stands disposed of.

**JUDGE**

