

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI
Cr. Bail Application No.1016 of 2026

Applicant : Muddasir Khan son of Saeed Khan
Through M/S Muneer Ahmed Malik
Ghulam Murtaza Malik, Mehwish, Neha
and Harchand Rai

Respondent : The State
Through Mr. Dur Muhammad Shah, DAG

Date of hearing : 04.05.2026
Date of order : 04.05.2026

ORDER

MIRAN MUHAMMAD SHAH, J:- Through this criminal bail application the applicant/accused Muddasir Khan son of Saeed Khan, seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.06/2026, registered at Police Station FIA Cybercrime Karachi, under section 20, 21(d), 26A of PECA 2016. His earlier application for the same relief was dismissed by the learned Sessions Judge Karachi South vide order dated 19.03.2026.

2. The facts of the case are need not to be reproduce herein, as the copy of FIR is attached with the bail application and the facts are also stated in detail therein.

3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned Deputy Attorney General and perused the record.

4. The applicant is stated to be an employee of co-accused Ghulam Murtaza, and his role appears to be confined to reading of a script provided by his employer. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the main accused has enmity with the complainant, and the present FIR has been lodged only to exert pressure upon the main accused to settled the dispute. So far as the present applicant is concerned, he was involved in this case only on the allegation that he read out certain contents of material provided by his

employer which allegedly used for defamation. No specific overt act is attributed to him, and the element of mensrea is not, attracted. The applicant has remained in custody for the last three months. The alleged offence does not fall within the prohibitory clause. Learned DAG half-heartedly opposed the bail application of the present applicant/accused, however pointed out that the case has been challaned and the applicant is no more required for any further investigation. Nothing has been pointed out to suggest that the applicant is a previous convict or that there exists any likelihood of his absconding or tampering with prosecution evidence. In the circumstances, continued incarceration of the applicant would serve no useful purpose. Consequently, the instant bail application is allowed. The applicant/accused is admitted to post-arrest bail subject to his furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) and a P.R. bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

5. Needless to mention here that the observation made herein above are tentative in nature and would not influence the trial court while deciding the case of the applicant on merits.

Criminal bail application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

