

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI
Cr. Bail Application No.942 of 2026

Applicant : Irfan s/o Abdul Ghafoor Memon
Through Mr. Nazir Ahmed Shar,
Advocate

Respondent : The State
Through Mr. Tahir Mangi, APG

Date of hearing : 13.05.2026
Date of order : 13.05.2026

ORDER

MIRAN MUHAMMAD SHAH, J:- Through this bail application, the applicant/accused Irfan s/o Abdul Ghafoor Memon, seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.486/2023, registered at Police Station Steel Town, under sections 25-D Telegraphic Act, r/w 376, 509 and 506 PPC. His earlier application for the same relief, was dismissed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-V, Malir Karachi, vide order dated 10.03.2026.

2. The facts of the case need not be reproduced herein, as the copy of FIR is attached with the bail application and the facts are also stated in detail therein.

3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, as well as learned APG and perused the record.

4. Perusal of the record reflects that the applicant has been charged with serious allegations involving sexual assault upon women of an educational institution. The allegations levelled against the applicant are of grave and sensitive nature. It is alleged that, while holding the position of Principal, the applicant misused his authority and committed unlawful acts against the women. The alleged incidents were captured through CCTV footage, which was subsequently uploaded on social media by the co-

accused, thereby exposing the victims to severe humiliation and mental distress. The material available on record prima facie suggests that the alleged acts have not only adversely affected the lives and dignity of the victims but have also caused social suffering to their families. It is also significant to note that the parents of the victims, due to fear of social dishonor and reputational harm, refrained from approaching the authorities, whereafter the State proceeded to lodge the FIR in order to set the criminal law into motion. The record further reveals that the applicant is allegedly identifiable in the videos available with the prosecution. Moreover, statements of two victims under Section 164 Cr.P.C. have been recorded before the learned Magistrate, wherein they specifically implicated the present applicant in the commission of the offence. The medical evidence collected during investigation also support the prosecution case. At this tentative stage, sufficient incriminating material appears to be available connecting the applicant with the alleged offence. Prima facie, it appears that the applicant, while occupying a position of trust within the educational institution, abused such position in a manner contrary to law and morality. The offence alleged against the applicant is undoubtedly grave in nature and carries severe punishment under the law. It is well-settled that in cases involving serious allegations of this degree, the concession of bail is not to be extended as a matter of routine, particularly where the material collected during investigation reasonably connects the accused with the commission of the offence. Keeping in view the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the gravity of the allegations, the specific role attributed to the applicant, the statements of the victims recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., and the supporting medical as well as documentary evidence available on record, I am of the considered view that the applicant has failed to make out a case for the grant of post-arrest bail. Consequently, the instant bail application is dismissed. However, the learned trial Court is directed to

proceed with the trial expeditiously and conclude the same preferably within a period of three months, strictly in accordance with law, and compliance report to this Court through MIT-II.

5. Needless to mention here that the observations made herein above are tentative in nature and would not influence the trial court while deciding the case of the applicant on merits.

The instant criminal bail application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Suleman Khan/PA

