

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI
Cr. Bail Application No.898 of 2026

Applicants : i. Bably Korejo w/o Ghulam Akbar
Korejo
ii. Zeeshan Akbar s/o Ghulam Akbar
Korejo
Through Mr. Wqarullah Korejo,
Advocate

Complainant : Abdul Aziz
Through M/S Meer Buriro & Yasir
Khaskheli, Advocates

Respondent : The State
Through Mr. Muhammad Mohsin Mangi,
APG

Date of hearing : 05.05.2026
Date of order : 05.05.2026

ORDER

MIRAN MUHAMMAD SHAH, J:- Through this bail application, the applicants Bably Korejo and Zeeshan Akbar, seek pre-arrest bail in Crime No.28/2026, registered at Police Station Qasimabad Hyderabad, under sections 365-B, 506/2, 504 and 34 PPC. They were admitted to interim pre-arrest bail by this court, vide order dated 19.03.2026, and the matter is now fixed for confirmation of the same or otherwise.

2. The facts of the case need not to be reproduce herein, as the copy of FIR is attached with the bail application and the facts are also stated in detail therein.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the applicants, learned counsel for the complainant as well as learned APG and perused the record.

4. The Investigating Officer filed a report today stating that the challan has been submitted before the concerned learned Magistrate after deleting Section 365-B, PPC, as no case of kidnapping could be made out in

light of the statement of the alleged abductee girl. It is reported that the alleged abductee appeared before the Court in the D.B. matter, where her statement was recorded by the Investigating Officer. She categorically stated that she had not been kidnapped by anyone and that she had solemnized nikah with applicant No.2, Zeeshan Akbar, of her own free will and consent. She further stated that she be allowed to go and live with applicant No.2, Zeeshan Akbar. Admittedly, after deletion of Section 365-B, PPC, the remaining offences do not fall within the prohibitory clause of Section 497, Cr.P.C. Prima facie, the material available on record reflects that the dispute appears to have arisen due to the free-will marriage of the alleged abductee with applicant No.2, and the possibility of mala fide on the part of the complainant cannot be ruled out at this stage. Moreover, there is nothing on record to suggest that the applicants have misused the concession of interim pre-arrest bail. In the above circumstances, the applicants have successfully made out a case for confirmation of pre-arrest bail. Consequently, the interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the applicants vide order dated 19.03.2026 is hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

5. Needless to mention here that the observation made herein above are tentative in nature and would not influence the trial court while deciding the case of the applicant on merits. However, in case the applicant misuses the concession of bail in any manner, the trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the same after giving him notice, in accordance with the law.

Criminal bail application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

