

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI**  
**Cr. Bail Application No.883 of 2026**

Applicant : Sikandar @ Nadar Khosa s/o Ali Hassan  
Through Mr. Zubair Ahmed Sahito,  
Advocate

Complainant : Fayyaz Ali s/o Muhammad Bux  
Through Mr. Abid Hussain, Advocate

Respondent : The State  
Through Mr. Tahir Mangi, APG

Date of hearing : 13.05.2026  
Date of order : 13.05.2026

**ORDER**

**MIRAN MUHAMMAD SHAH, J:-** Through this bail application, the applicant/accused Sikandar @ Nadar Khosa s/o Ali Hassan, seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.11/2025, registered at Police Station Steel Town, under sections 397, 302 and 34 PPC. His earlier application for the same relief, was dismissed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-VI, Malir Karachi, vide order dated 07.01.2026.

2. The facts of the case need not to be reproduce herein, as the copy of FIR is attached with the bail application and the facts are also stated in detail therein.

3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned counsel for the complainant as well as learned APG and perused the record.

4. Perusal of the record reflects that although the name of the applicant was not mentioned in the FIR, however, during the identification parade conducted before the learned Magistrate, the complainant specifically identified the applicant and assigned him the role of firing upon the deceased and committing his murder. Furthermore, the complainant and other witnesses, in their statements recorded under section 161 Cr.P.C., fully

supported the prosecution case. It further appears from the record that crime empties were recovered from the place of incident, which prima facie connect the applicant with the commission of the alleged offence. The complainant, while recording his deposition before the trial Court, also supported the prosecution version and identified the applicant before the Court during the course of evidence. Moreover, the CDR of the applicant confirms his presence at the place of occurrence and also establishes his communication with the co-accused at the relevant time. Learned APG has also placed on record the CRO of the applicant, which reveals that he is a habitual offender and a hardened criminal. The offence alleged against the applicant is heinous in nature and carries capital punishment; therefore, concession of bail in such like cases cannot ordinarily be extended. In the circumstances of the case, particularly keeping in view the nature of the offence and the specific role attributed to the applicant, I am of the considered view that the applicant has failed to make out a case for grant of post-arrest bail. Consequently, the instant bail application is dismissed. However, the learned trial Court is directed to proceed with the matter expeditiously and conclude the trial within a period of three months, strictly in accordance with law, and submit compliance report to this Court through MIT-II.

5. Needless to mention here that the observations made herein above are tentative in nature and would not influence the trial court while deciding the case of the applicant on merits.

The instant criminal bail application stands disposed of.

**JUDGE**

