

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI**  
**Cr. Bail Application No.748 of 2026**

Applicants : i. Shahzad Younus s/o Younis Masih  
ii. Fayaz Masih s/o Younus Masih  
iii. Samuel Riaz s/o Riaz Masih  
iv. Imran Siddiq s/o Siddiq Khan  
Through Mr. Shah Miroz Khan, Advocate

Respondent : The State  
Through Sharafuddin Kanhar, APG

Date of hearing : 29.04.2026  
Date of order : 29.04.2026

**ORDER**

**MIRAN MUHAMMAD SHAH, J:-** The applicants/accused Shahzad Younus, Fayaz Masih, Samuel Riaz and Imran Siddiq, through the instant criminal bail application, seek pre-arrest bail in Crime No.38 of 2026, registered at Police Station Surjani Town Karachi, under section 337-A(iii), 337(i), 34 PPC. Earlier their bail application was dismissed by learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Karachi West, vide order dated 05.03.2026. They were admitted to interim pre-arrest bail by this court, vide order dated 06.03.2026, and the matter is now fixed for confirmation of the same or otherwise.

2. The facts of the case are need not to be reproduce herein, as the copy of FIR is attached with the bail application and the facts are also stated in detail therein.

3. Heard learned counsel for the applicants, learned APG and perused the record.

4. It appears that this FIR was lodged as a result of a sudden fight between two parties. According to the contents of the FIR, the complainant sustained injuries; however, initially, no medical report was placed on

record to substantiate such injuries. Subsequently, a supplementary medical certificate was placed on record which shows one of the injuries falling under Section 337-A(iii) PPC, which carries a punishment of up to ten years. However, this medical evidence does not appear to be consistent with the oral evidence, and makes the case one of further enquiry. The applicability of the said offence shall be determined at trial after recording evidence. The remaining offences are bailable. The challan has already been submitted and the applicants are no longer required for further investigation and they are attending the trial court regularly. Moreover, there is nothing on record to suggest that the applicants have misused the concession of interim pre-arrest bail. In these circumstances, the interim pre-arrest bail granted to the applicants vide order dated 06.03.2026 is hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

5. Needless to mention here that the observation made herein above are tentative in nature and would not influence the trial court while deciding the case of the applicant on merits. However, in case the applicant misuses the concession of bail in any manner, the trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the same after giving him notice, in accordance with the law.

Criminal bail application stands disposed of.

**JUDGE**