

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI
Cr. Bail Application No.657 of 2026

Applicant : Irfan @ Elfi s/o Abrar
Through Mr. Ajab Khan Khatak, Advocate

Respondent : The State
Through Mr. Muhammad Mohsin Mangi,
APG

Date of hearing : 05.05.2026
Date of order : 14.05.2026

ORDER

MIRAN MUHAMMAD SHAH, J:- Through this application, the applicant Irfan @ Elfi s/o Abrar, seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.374/2025, registered at Police Station Liaqatabad, u/s 395, 397 and 34 PPC. The earlier bail applications filed by the applicant for the same relief was dismissed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-VII / MCTC-02, Karach Central, vide order dated 17.02.2026.

2. The facts of the case need not to be reproduce herein, as the copy of FIR is attached with the bail applications and the facts are also stated in detail therein.

3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned APG, and perused the record.

4. It is an admitted position that the present applicant was not nominated in the FIR. The FIR, being blind in nature, required an identification parade; however, no such parade was held. The recovery alleged to have been made from the present applicant/accused is also of doubtful nature, as such recovery was effected from one of the co-accused in the case. The learned trial Court erred in deciding the second bail application of the present applicant/accused by observing that no fresh grounds were agitated by the learned counsel for the applicant, whereas, in the meantime,

a co-accused having the same role was granted bail by the trial Court, which itself is a sufficient ground for filing a second bail application in the present matter. In these circumstances, on the principle of consistency, since the co-accused has already been granted bail on similar grounds, the present applicant/accused is also entitled for bail. Accordingly, instant bail application is allowed and the applicant is admitted to bail subject to furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs. 100,000/- (Rupees One Hundred Thousand only) and P.R bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

5. Needless to mention here that the observations made herein above are tentative in nature and would not influence the trial court while deciding the case of the applicants on merits.

JUDGE

Suleman Khan/PA

