

**IN HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT
HYDERABAD**

C.P. No.D-793 of 2026

[Sadarullah Lund v. Province of Sindh & others]

Before:

Mr. Justice Adnan Iqbal Chaudhry

Mr. Justice Riazat Ali Sahar

Petitioner : Sadarullah Lund through Mr. Aroon Kumar, Advocate.

Respondents No.1to5 : Province of Sindh through Mr.Rafique Ahmed Dahri, Additional A.G. Sindh along with DSP Akhtar Hussain Samoo SDPO Saddar Shaheed Benazirabad and SIP Abdul Latif, SHO PS Sakrand, District Shaheed Benazirabad.

Respondent No.8 : Mukhtiar through Mr. Mukesh Kumar Oad, Advocate, who filed Vakalatnama today, taken on record.

Respondents No.6,7,11&10 : Nemo.

Date of Hearing : 20.05.2026.

Date of Decision : 20.05.2026.

ORDER

RIAZAT ALI SAHAR. J. - The instant Constitutional Petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking protection against alleged political victimization, unlawful harassment, and false criminal prosecutions at the hands of private respondents in collusion with local police authorities. The petitioner has prayed for constitution of an independent and impartial investigation mechanism in respect of the impugned FIRs, restraint against registration of further false cases and protection from illegal arrest and coercive actions. The

grievance of the petitioner primarily arises out of an alleged land dispute and political rivalry, which, according to the petitioner, has resulted in abuse of legal process and violation of his fundamental rights guaranteed under the Constitution.

2. It appears from the record that a private dispute concerning landed property exists between the petitioner party and the private respondents, on account whereof both sides have lodged a series of criminal cases against each other. DSP Akhtar Hussain Samoo, SDPO Saddar, District Shaheed Benazirabad and SIP Abdul Latif, SHO Police Station Sakrand, are present before the Court and categorically undertake that no false or frivolous FIR shall be registered against either party and that the police authorities shall remain neutral in the private civil dispute between the parties. They further state that the dispute essentially pertains to landed property and the police have no concern with the adjudication thereof except to act strictly in accordance with law.

3. In view of the above position, the concerned police authorities are directed to ensure that no false FIR or *mala fide* criminal proceedings are initiated against either side in relation to the aforesaid property dispute and that fair, transparent and impartial investigation is conducted in all FIRs already registered by the parties against each other, strictly in accordance with law and without being influenced by any extraneous consideration.

4. The instant petition stands **disposed of** in the above terms.

JUDGE

JUDGE