

ORDER SHEET

**HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT,
HYDERABAD**

C.P No.D-695 of 2024

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
15.01.2026	

Mr. Toseef Ahmed Chandio, advocate for petitioner

Mr. Ghulam Abbas Sangi, Assistant Attorney General

Record reflects that on 15.05.2024 Mr. Ayaz Hussain Chandio had filed Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent Power Company but despite lapse of considerable period of time he has not filed comments and today he is called absent without intimation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was serving in WAPDA that was initially comprised of two Wings i.e Water and Power Wing, which was later on further divided into three Companies viz: Generation, Transmission and Distribution and the petitioner was posted in Generation Company. He further submits that in the year 2009 the Board of Directors of GENCO passed a resolution whereby four advance increments were announced for officers of BS-17 to 20 on acquiring Master Degree in Management Science/MBA. He states that petitioner with prior permission of respondent No.3 got admission in MBA (evening session) in National College of Management, Art and Science Latifabad that is affiliated with University of Sindh and completed his degree on 13.06.2022 and accordingly became entitled for four advance increments and moved an application dated 07.07.2022 to respondent No.3 but no heed was paid to said application. Counsel states that again on 05.05.2023 and 19.09.2023 petitioner moved reminders but the application remained undecided and in the meanwhile the petitioner stood retired from service on 07.11.2023 on attaining the age of superannuation. Counsel emphasised that petitioner was possessing the required qualification for four advance increments as per policy announced by the respondents, but he was discriminated against. He states that he would be satisfied if this petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to decide the pending application of petitioner in accordance with law.

In view of the above, we dispose of this petition with directions to respondents to decide the application of petitioner, referred to above, if the same is still pending, strictly in accordance with law after providing ample opportunity of hearing to petitioner.

JUDGE

JUDGE