

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS**  
**Crl. Bail Application No.S-44 of 2026**

**Applicant:** Khan Muhammad @ Khan Saraz s/o Gul Muhammad  
Through Ms. Sobia Saleem Qambrani, Advocate.

**Respondent:** The State.  
Through Mr. Dhani Bakhsh Mari, Assistant P.G.

**Date of hearing:** 06.03.2026

**Date of Order:** 06.03.2026

**ORDER**

**Miran Muhammad Shah, J:** Through this Order, I intend to dispose of above-mentioned bail application, whereby the applicant/ accused seeks pre-arrest bail in F.I.R No.19/ 2026 for offence punishable under sections 3 and 5 of the Sindh Prohibition of Interest on Private Loans Act, 2023 and section 467 P.P.C of P.S Shahdadpur, after rejection of his bail plea by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Shahdadpur, vide Order dated 29-01-2026.

2. The details and particulars of the F.I.R are already available in bail application and the F.I.R, as such, need not to reproduce the same hereunder.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that the applicant is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case due to malafide intention and ulterior motives; that no documentary evidence is available on record in respect of alleged crime; that the complainant himself issued a cheque in favour of the applicant as security against the business of diesel fuel; that the applicant has no previous criminal record. Lastly she prayed for the confirmation of bail to the applicant.

4. Learned A.P.G has opposed for the confirmation of bail to the applicant mainly on the ground that the applicant is nominated in the F.I.R with specific role.

5. Complainant is called absent despite of notices being issued twice. However, I have heard learned counsel for the applicant/ accused and learned A.P.G so also perused the material available

on record. Learned counsel for the applicant stated that present applicant has been falsely implicated in this case for malafide reason and ulterior motives as the applicant and the complainant have some business transactions, for which cheque was issued which was used by the complainant to implicate the applicant falsely in this case, otherwise story allegedly made in the F.I.R is contrary to the story mentioned in the application under section 22-A and B Cr.P.C, which resulted in lodgment of the said F.I.R, hence two versions have been placed, one in the application under section 22-A and B Cr.P.C and second in the F.I.R, which requires further inquiry. The absence of the complainant also shows that he is not interested to pursue the matter against the present applicant as numbers of other persons with similar allegation are nominated in the said F.I.R. The allegation against the present applicant is only to the extent that an amount of Rs.200,000/= was taken by the complainant and he returned back Rs.800,000/= alongwith interest to the applicant.

6. In above circumstances, I hereby confirm the interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the applicant/ accused vide Order dated 03-02-2026 on the same terms and conditions.

7. The observations made in this decision are of tentative nature and will not influence the merits of the case.

The instant bail application is disposed of accordingly.

**JUDGE**

\*Saleem\*