

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS**

Crl. Bail Application No.S-323 of 2025

**Applicant:** Faheem Ul Rehman @ Faheem  
Through Mr. Nabi Bux Narejo, Advocate

**Respondent:** The State  
Through Mr. Ghulam Abbas Dalwani, D.P.G

\*\*\*\*\*

**Crl. Bail Application No.S-313 of 2025**

**Applicants:** 1. Umesh Gul S/o Shankar Lal  
2. Sadam S/o Keshav Lal  
Through Mr. Feroz Aqueeque Kunbhar, Advocate

**Respondent:** The State  
Through Mr. Ghulam Abbas Dalwani, D.P.G

**Date of Hearing:** 03.03.2026

**Date of Order:** 03.03.2026

**ORDER**

**Miran Muhammad Shah, J:** Through this single order, I intend to dispose of above-mentioned bail applications, whereby applicants/accused seek pre-arrest bail in F.I.R No.89 of 2025 U/S 123(a), 124(a), 153(a), 34 P.P.C R/w 25 Telegraph Act of P.S, Mithi after rejection of their bail plea by learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Tharparkar at Mithi vide order dated 31.10.2025.

2. The details and particulars of the F.I.R are already available in bail application(s) and the F.I.R, as such, need not to reproduce the same hereunder: -

3. Learned counsels for the applicants contends that applicants/accused are innocents and they have falsely been implicated in this case; that video made viral shown in nature the question who gave information regarding video is not mentioned in the FIR and permission has not been sought from Federal Government with regard to lodgment of FIR and it has been lodged under regular course; that present applicants/accused were not present at the place of incident and they have been falsely implicated in this case by police under local political elements.

4. Learned D.P.G states that the main offence falls within prohibitory clause and the permission from Interior Ministry has been sought for lodgment of F.I.R in this case. In such circumstances, applicants/accused are not entitled for confirmation of bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel(s) for the applicants/accused as well as D.P.G and perused the record. It seems that the alleged viral video on electric social media now falls as an offence within the ambit of Prevention of Electronic Crimes Act (P.E.C.A) and the offence, which applied and committed now fall within the said law. The Telegraph Act applied is redundant as an old law. Sections applied by the concerned police to prosecute the present applicants/accused are no more prevalent. The applicants/accused seem to have been falsely implicated by police on the behest of political influentials of the area for ulterior motives and malafide reasons as political enmity is admitted in the F.I.R.

6. In such circumstances, I am inclined to confirm the bails of present applicants/accused and ad-interim pre-arrest bails earlier granted to the applicants vide order dated 06.11.2025 and 11.11.2025 are hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions. I.O of the case is directed to start a fresh inquiry in the incident and apply modern method for making a thorough inquiry under new law in this matter.

Both Criminal Bail Applications stands disposed of.

**JUDGE**

*"Chander Kumar"*