

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS

CrI. Bail Application No.S-27 of 2026

Applicant: Sahil s/o Arab Dars
Through Mr. Rao Faisal Ali, Advocate

Complainant: Ali Akber s/o Aarab
Through Mr. Yar Muhammad Mangrio,
advocate

Respondent: The State
Through Mr. Ghulam Abbas Dalwani, D.P.G

Date of Hearing: 25.02.2026

Date of Order: 25.02.2026

ORDER

Miran Muhammad Shah, J: Through this Bail Application, the applicant/accused Sahil s/o Arab Dars seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.148/2024 for offence under sections 302, 311 P.P.C of P.S Khipro district Sanghar, after dismissal of his bail plea by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Khipro vide order dated 19.01.2026.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case; that the FIR is delayed for about 03 days for which no explanation has been furnished by the complainant which show due deliberation and consultation, therefore, false implication of applicant/accused cannot be ruled out; that during investigation no incriminating evidence has been collected and no plausible explanation is given by the complainant for such delay; that the alleged abductee contracted marriage with her own accord with co-accused Sikander and complainant well knowingly about the marriage of his sister, falsely implicated applicant/accused in this case, only to put pressure upon the applicant/accused; that the victim lady filed C.P No.D-5274/2025 before High Court of Sindh at Karachi in favour of applicant/accused as well as co-accused, hence case requires further inquiry. He prays for grant of bail to applicant/accused.

3. Learned counsel Mr. Yar Muhammad Mangrio files power on behalf of complainant, who is also present in-person and gives his no-objection affidavit.

4. Learned D.P.G states that this is case of honour killing and such affidavit of no-objection has no evidentiary value; however, counsel for complainant files deposition of complainant in which he has stated before learned trial Court that the present applicant/accused is not the person who committed the said crime and he is suspicious. It is also stated in his deposition that evidence narrated on the date earlier was due to the instance of prosecutor. Therefore, he states that present applicant/accused has not issued any threats to his daughter and such depositions are placed on record by counsel for the applicant/accused.

5. After going through the said material, there is every likelihood that the prosecution case may be shattered during trial and the fate of case will be determined at the time of trial. The evidence submitted is sufficient to make out the case of the applicant/accused under the ambit of further enquiry, therefore, he is granted post-arrest bail, subject to furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees one hundred thousand only) and PR Bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of Trial Court.

6. The observations made here-in-above are tentative in nature and would not prejudice the case of either party at the trial.

The Crl. Bail Application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Chander Kumar