

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS**

Crl. Bail Application No.S-22 of 2026  
Crl. Bail Application No.S-23 of 2026  
Crl. Bail Application No.S-24 of 2026

**Applicant:** Baqar Chandio s/o Soomar Khan  
Through Mr. Zulifquar Ali Laghari, Advocate

**Respondent:** The State  
Through Mr. Shahzado Saleem, Additional  
Prosecutor General Sindh

**Date of Hearing:** 04.03.2026

**Date of Order:** 04.03.2026

**ORDER**

**Miran Muhammad Shah, J:** Through this single order, I intend to dispose of above-mentioned bail three separate bail applications, whereby applicant/ accused Baqar Chandio son of Soomar Khan seeks post-arrest bail in F.I.R No.30 of 2022 U/S 397, 34 PPC of P.S Tando Jan Muhammad, F.I.R No.33/2022 U/S 382, 397, 34 P.P.C of P.S, Tando Jan Muhammad and F.I.R No.45 of 2022 U/S 392, 34 PPC added section in challan 397 P.P.C of P.S Tando Jan Muhammad after rejection of his bail plea by learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Mirpurkhas vide orders dated 08.12.2025.

2. The details and particulars of the F.I.Rs are already available in bail application(s) and the F.I.Rs, as such, need not to reproduce the same hereunder: -

3. Learned counsel for the applicant contends that applicant/accused is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in these case; that the applicant/accused after obtaining bail could not appear before the learned trial Court due to some unavoidable circumstances but his bail was dismissed; that the learned trial Court ignored all the material available on record in favour of applicant/accused; that there is no direct evidence against the applicant/accused to connect him with the alleged offence.

4. Learned A.P.G for State opposed the grant of bail to applicant/accused on the ground that the C.R.O shows that many different cases have been pending against present applicant/accused as such he is not entitled for grant of bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for applicant/accused as well as learned A.P.G and perused the record. These are the three connected Bail Applications wherein applicant is same; however, different F.I.Rs have been lodged against him. The C.R.O shows that many different cases have been pending against present applicant/accused and he has been shown as member of Chandia Gang, committed such crimes as mentioned in the three F.I.Rs. Though the complainants are different in all the F.I.Rs, but the contents of F.I.Rs are same.

6. Learned counsel has stated that the present applicant/accused appeared before learned trial Court and obtained bail but he was arrested by police in other cases and could not appear and his bail applications were dismissed. Learned A.P.G states that since there are so many cases against the applicant/accused and he was picked up in other cases. Counsel for the applicant/accused states that applicant/accused was wrongfully made as member of the Chandia Gang, he only lives in village nearby and due to his same caste, has been implicated in these false cases. It seems that Gang of criminals is looting people on different pretexts and has become a big threat in the area. Several crimes have been reported and in order to curb this Gang even the grounds of bail shall be declined. Therefore, no any leniency to any of the member shall be taken place, it is yet to be proved whether applicant/accused who as per his version is not part of the Gang and to be determined by the trial Court after recording of evidence.

7. In such circumstances, let trial be carried out expeditiously and may be concluded within a period of 30 days after obtaining evidence of material witnesses positively; however, in the meantime, these 03 Bail Applications are dismissed being meritless.

**JUDGE**

*“Chander Kumar”*

