

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS**

Criminal Bail Application No.S-13 of 2026

**Applicants:** 1. Khursheed s/o Sher Muhammad Malik  
2. Muhammad Bilal s/o Akber Ali  
Through Mr. Muhammad Hashim Laghari  
advocate

**Complainant:** Allah Yar s/o Bashir Ahmed  
Through Mr. Rizwan Ali Advocate

**Respondent:** The state through Mr. Muhammad Abbas  
Dalwani, Additional Prosecutor General Sindh

**Date of Hearing:** 16.02.2026

**Date of Order:** 16.02.2026

**ORDER**

**Miran Muhammad Shah, J:** Through this Bail Application the applicants/accused Khursheed s/o Sher Muhammad Malik and Muhammad Bilal s/o Akber Ali seek bail after their arrest in Crime No.70/2025 for offence under sections 302, 201 PPC of P.S, Sanghar. Prior to this, the applicants/accused filed post-arrest Bail Application before learned trial Court, which was dismissed vide order dated 30.12.2025.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants contends that no objection has been filed by the complainant for grant of bail to applicants/accused. Whereas, learned Deputy Prosecutor General has opposed the bail on the ground that no such objection has been filed before the trial Court where case is proceeding. He further states that there is material available on record which shows that based on last seen evidence the applicants/accused have been involved in the commission of crime.

3. I have heard the learned counsels for both the parties as well as learned Additional Prosecutor General Sindh and have perused the material available on record. The certified true copies of depositions have also been filed, where it transpires that name of the applicants/accused have been implicated in this case on the further statement of the complainant, which is done on subsequent days. The medical evidence have been brought on record also. The cause of death is un-determined and the blood sample of accused Bilal s/o Akber Ali does not match with the vaginal swabs of the deceased lady. All the arguments of defense counsel are based on merits. On the contrary, the learned counsel for

complainant, along with complainant does not offer any ground for dismissal of bail application. He states that complainant has no objection if bail is granted to applicants/accused.

4. In such circumstances, based on the evidence, deposition of trial Court and medical certificate, the applicants/accused's case seems to be case of further inquiry, therefore, both the applicants/accused are granted post-arrest bail, subject to furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees fifty thousand only) each and PR Bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of Trial Court.

The CrI. Bail Application stands disposed of in above terms

**JUDGE**

*\*Chander Kumar\**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,  
MIRPURKHAS**

Crl. Bail Application No.D-18 of 2025  
Crl. Bail Application No.D-33 of 2025

---

**DATE      ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

10.02.2026

Mr. Afzal Karim, advocate for applicant/accused Amir Qureshi in Crl. B.A No.D-18/2025

Mr. Rao Faisal Ali, advocate for applicants/accused Ashfaqe and Muhammad Asif in Crl. B.A No.D-33/2025

Mr. Ghulam Abbas Dalwani, Deputy Prosector General Sindh

≡

We have heard learned counsels for both the parties as well as learned D.P.G. The reasons to be recorded later on, both bail applications are dismissed with directions to the learned trial Court to conclude trial within a period of thirty (30) days. Copy of this order be kept in connected bail application.

**JUDGE**

**JUDGE**

*\*Chander Kumar\**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS**

**Crl. Bail Application No.S-407 of 2025**

**Applicants:** Ali Sher & Abdul Latif both sons of Jamal  
Through Mr. Shoukat Ali Rahimoon, Advocate.

**Respondent:** The State.  
Through Mr. Dhani Bux Mari, A.P.G.

**Date of Hearing:** 03.02.2026

**Date of Order:** 03.02.2026

**ORDER**

**Miran Muhammad Shah, J:** Through this Bail Application, the applicants/accused Ali Sher and Abdul Latif seek pre-arrest bail in Crime No.273/2025 for offence under sections 337-F(i), 337-F(vi), L-ii, 114, 506(2), 504, 147, 148, 149 PPC of P.S Khipro, after dismissal of their bail plea by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-, Khipro, vide order dated 19.12.2025.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants contends that admittedly there is dispute between the parties over landed property, due to which applicants/accused have been booked in this FIR with malafide intention; that the FIR is delayed for about 02 days without any plausible explanation; that all the sections are bailable except 506(ii) PPC and do not fall within prohibitory clause of section 497(i) Cr.P.C; that co-accused Mehrab has been granted bail by learned trial Court as such applicants/accused are also entitled for same leniency. Lastly, he prayed for confirmation of pre-arrest bail. He relied upon case laws reported in 2023 SCMR 1243, 2021 SCMR 130, 2021 SCMR 2086, 2017 PLD 73 SC, 2025 MLD 1196, 2025 YLR 1954 and 2018 YLR 204.

3. Learned A.P.G for the State, assisted by learned counsel for complainant have opposed for the grant of bail on the ground that applicants are nominated in the F.I.R and they have actively participated in the alleged offence.

4. Heard learned counsels for both the parties as well as learned A.P.G for the State and perused the record.

5. The injuries caused by both the applicants/accused were on non-vital part of body and as per medical report injuries caused through hard

blunt substance. Enmity is admitted by the prosecution, hence, malafide cannot be ruled out. All the sections applied do not fall within prohibitory clause of section 497 Cr.P.C and such like situation it is to be determined at the time of trial as to who caused injury to the applicants/accused. One of the co-accused Mehrab with similar role has been granted bail by learned trial Court vide order dated 19.12.2025. The matter has already been challaned and case is fixed for trial before learned trial Court.

6. In such circumstances, I am inclined to confirm the bail of present applicants/accused, and ad-interim pre-arrest bail earlier granted to the applicants vide order dated 23.12.2025 is hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

7. The observations made here-in-above are tentative in nature and would not prejudice the case of either party at the trial.

The application stands disposed of.

**JUDGE**

*\*Chander Kumar\**