

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS

CrI. Bail Application No.S-19 of 2026

Applicants: 1. Mumtaz s/o Muhammad Ramzan,
2. Inayat Hussain s/o Ghulam Muhammad,
3. Habibullah S/o Sajawal Khan,
4. Muhammad Asif s/o Khamiso Khan,
5. Khamiso Khan s/o Haji Khan,
6. Ashique Hussain s/o Shah Muhammad,
7. Dilshad Rind s/o Habibullah,
Through Mr. Shoukat Ali Rahimoon advocate

Respondent: The State.
Through Mr. Muhammad Abbas Dilwani,
learned D.P.G.

Date of Hearing: 23.02.2026

Date of Order: 23.02.2026

ORDER

Miran Muhammad Shah, J: Through this Bail Application, the applicants Mumtaz s/o Muhammad Ramzan, Inayat Hussain s/o Ghulam Muhammad, Habibullah S/o Sajawal Khan, Muhammad Asif s/o Khamiso Khan, Khamiso Khan s/o Haji Khan, Ashique Hussain s/o Shah Muhammad & Dilshad Rind s/o Habibullah seek pre-arrest bail in Crime No.02/2026 for offence under sections 324, 353, 224, 225, 504, 337-A(i), 337-F(i), 337-H(ii), 114, 147, 148, 149 PPC of P.S Dilbar Khan Mahar, after dismissal of their bail plea by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Mirpurkhas vide order dated 22.01.2026.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants contends that applicants/accused are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case with malafide intention due to personal grudge of PC Aijaz; that alleged recovery from present applicants/accused at the time of their arrest is totally falsified and concocted as no such alleged item was recovered from the applicants/accused; that applicants/accused fired shots but surprisingly no one had sustained fire shot injury, hence, section 324 is mis-applied and requires further inquiry; that all prosecution's witnesses are police officials and there is no independent witness of alleged incident. He prayed for grant of bail to applicants/accused.

3. Learned D.P.G for State has submitted that as per medical record, there is no injury on the person of injured.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for applicants/accused as well as learned D.P.G for State and have perused the record. As per contention of learned counsel for present applicants/accused no role has been attributed to present applicant/accused in the commission of the offence as per the contents of FIR. All the sections are bailable while ingredient of section 324 PPC are not attracted. Even as per contention of learned D.P.G no one has been injured as per medical record, which clearly transpires that only bailable offence is committed. Only due to malafide reasons and enmity with police, they have implicated in this case for ulterior motives and humiliate the present applicants/accused. Moreover, co-accused Abdul Ghaffar and Asad have already been bail after-arrest by this Court vide order dated 10.02.2026.

5. In such circumstances, I am inclined to confirm the bail of present applicants/accused and ad-interim pre-arrest bail earlier granted to the applicants vide order dated 26.01.2026 is hereby confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

6. The observations made here-in-above are tentative in nature and would not prejudice the case of either party at the trial.

The application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Chander Kumar