

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA

1stCriminal Bail Application No. S-65 of 2026

Applicant : Parvaiz Ahmed son of Shahnawaz
Jatoi, through Mr. Ali Raza Pathan,
Advocate.

The State : Mr. Nazir Ahmed Bhangwar,
D.P.G for State.

Date of hearing : 16.03.2026
Date of Order : 16.03.2026.

ORDER

Abdul Hamid Bhurgri, J.- The applicant Parvaiz Ahmed son of Shahnawaz Jatoi, seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.213/2025, registered at Police Station New Foujdari, District Shikarpur, for the offence punishable under Section 25 of the Sindh Arms Act, 2013, after dismissal of his post-arrest bail application by the learned I-Additional Sessions Judge/MCTC, Shikarpur, vide order dated 04.12.2025.

2. According to the F.I.R., on 11.10.2025 at about 2000 hours, the applicant along with his co-accused, allegedly armed with pistols, is stated to have deterred the police party by resorting to firing. The applicant was allegedly arrested at the spot and an unlicensed T.T. pistol of 30 bore along with two live bullets was recovered from his possession. It is further alleged that the applicant is involved in different criminal cases.

3. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and the learned State counsel, and perused the available record. A tentative assessment of the material available on record reflects that no independent private person has been associated as a witness to the

alleged recovery, despite the fact that the police party allegedly had prior information regarding the presence of the accused persons. The veracity of the alleged recovery and the role attributed to the applicant are matters which require deeper appreciation of evidence, to be undertaken during the course of trial. Moreover, the applicant has already been granted post-arrest bail in the main case (Crime No.212/2025) by this Court vide order of even date and he is presently languishing in jail. Since the recovery witnesses are police officials, the possibility of tampering with the prosecution evidence appears remote. Furthermore, the applicant is no longer required for the purpose of investigation. Reliance is placed on ***Naeem-ul-Areen v. the State, 2016 MLD 1543 and Muhammad Siddique v. the State, 2014 PCr. LJ 1430.***

4. In view of the above circumstances, the instant bail application is allowed. The applicant is admitted to post-arrest bail, subject to furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) and P.R. bond in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

5. The observations made herein are tentative in nature and shall not prejudice the case of either party at the trial.

J U D G E