

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI  
Cr. Revision Application No.145 of 2022

Present:  
Mr. Justice Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro  
Mr. Justice Syed Fiaz ul Hassan Shah

Applicant:- Naresh Kumar @ Jani through Mr. Shaukat Hayat,  
Advocate.

Respondents:- Fareed Ahmed Khan and 05 others  
Ms. Shazia Hanjrah, Deputy Attorney General  
along with SIP Bilal Ahmed, FIA CBC, Karachi.

Date of hearing:- 03.03.2026

=====

**MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO J:** During investigation in Crime No.04/2020 of P.S. FIA, CBC, Karachi, against applicant and others Investigation Officer wrote a letter to all the banks to freeze his bank accounts. As a result, among others, three Bank Accounts viz. (i) Faysal Bank Kandhkot, A/c.No.03115000002181, (ii) MCB Bank, Zamzama Branch A/c.No.084265897200708 and (iii) Habib Bank Kandhkot, A/c.08847900114503 were frozen by the relevant banks.

2. After preliminary investigation, interim challan was submitted in the Special Court in which neither of these accounts were mentioned to be the subject matters of the case. The interim challan, due to failure of IO to file the final challan, was treated as a final challan vide order dated 12.06.2020. This order was never challenged by the Federal Investigation Agency/prosecution, and the Court commenced trial on the basis of interim challan. However, subsequently the IO filed the supplementary challan on 17.02.2022 in which one of such accounts of Habib Bank Kandhkot, A/c.08847900114503 was specifically recounted to be connected with alleged transactions made by the applicant. The supplementary challan however was not accepted by the Special Court vide order dated 19.05.2022. This order too was not challenged by the prosecution.

3. Now, the current situation in the trial is that none of above three bank accounts are the subject matter of the trial held by the learned Special Court against the applicant and other accused. The Investigating

Officer present, has admitted that first two accounts were never the subject matter of the investigation or the trial. And that although in respect of the last account he collected some evidence and submitted the same through supplementary challan to be made the subject matter of the trial, but the Special Court refused to accept his opinion and rejected the supplementary challan. Hence, even the third account currently is not the subject matter of the trial before the Special Court.

4. We therefore see no reason to let the said accounts stay frozen continuously when they are not the subject matters of the ongoing trial. Hence, we set aside the impugned order and order the Investigating Officer to write the relevant letters to the banks directing them to defreeze these accounts immediately.

The Cr. Revision Application is accordingly disposed of in above terms.

JUDGE

JUDGE

HANIF