

**HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT  
MIRPURKHAS**

**Criminal Bail Application No.S-302 of 2025**

<><><><>

Applicant: Lakhmir s/o Kanji.  
Through Mr. Afzal Karim Virk, Advocate.

Respondent: The State  
Through Mr. Ghulam Abbas Dalwani,  
Deputy Prosecutor General Sindh.

Complainant: Mirchand s/o Rejho  
Through Mr. Nabi Bux Narejo, Advocate.

Date of Hearing: 25.02.2026

Date of Order: 25.02.2026

<><><><>

**ORDER**

**Miran Muhammad Shah, J-**: Through instant bail application, the applicant/accused namely Lakhmir seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.21 of 2024 for offence under Sections 302, 324, 34 PPC registered at PS Ghulam Nabi Shah, after dismissal of his bail plea by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I/Model Criminal Trial Court, Umerkot, vide order dated 15.10.2024.

2. The details and particulars of the F.I.R are already available in bail application and the F.I.R, as such, need not to reproduce the same hereunder.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant/accused submits that the applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case; that the FIR was lodged with a delay of about 18 hours without any plausible explanation; that, according to the contents of the

FIR, the role assigned to the applicant/accused is that he caused a lathi blow to injured Sikandar Oad, whereas, as per the medico-legal certificate, injured Sikandar Oad did not receive any lathi injury; that no specific role has been attributed to the applicant/accused regarding the causing of any injury to the deceased; therefore, the case against the applicant/accused calls for further inquiry; and that the applicant has been behind bars since his arrest and is no longer required for further investigation. Lastly, he prayed for the grant of bail.

4. Conversely, learned A.P.G. and learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the applicants/accused on the ground that the applicant/accused was accompanied by the main accused at the time of the incident and shared common intention with him to cause the murder of deceased. Lastly, they prayed for the dismissal of the instant bail application.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicant/accused, learned counsel for the complainant and learned D.P.G for the State and perused the record.

6. After going through the medical certificates of the injured and the deceased, it appears that no lathi injury was sustained by either of them. However, as per the medical evidence, both the injured and the deceased received firearm injuries, which were allegedly caused by the main accused who was armed with a pistol at the time of the incident. The role attributed to the applicant/accused is that he caused a lathi blow to the injured; however, such injury is not reflected in the medical record available before this Court. Learned counsel has further contended that the firearm injuries were specifically attributed to the main accused. Mere presence at the place of occurrence or general

allegations in the statement would not be sufficient to connect the applicant with the commission of the offence at this stage. Therefore, I hereby grant post-arrest bail to the applicant/accused subject to furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs. 100,000/- (Rupees One Hundred Thousand only) and a P.R. bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

7. The observations made here-in-above are tentative in nature and would not prejudice the case of either party at the trial.

The application stands disposed of.

**JUDGE**

*\*Adnan Ashraf Nizamani\**