

**ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH  
CIRCUIT COURT MIRPURKHAS**

C.P No.S-02 of 2023

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

1. For hearing on M.A No.12/2024.

17.02.2026

Mr. Mir Muhammad Nohri, advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Muhammad Shareef Solangi, Assistant A.G Sindh a/w SIP  
Abdul Rasheed of PS Town.

=

This is an application under section 47 r/w section 151 C.P.C seeking review of the order dated 03.01.2024 whereby the instant petition was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued only to the extent of maintenance and dowry articles, submitting that the petitioner is not satisfied with the above order and seeks review of the same.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

From perusal of the order, it reflects that at para-8 thereof, a detailed discussion with in-depth reasoning has been recorded with respect to the claim of "maintenance" and "dowry articles" by the petitioner, which includes at least nine different factors for disallowing such claim. Such factors include: (i) non-examining the father and brother of the petitioner in evidence to substantiate the claim of dowry articles; (ii) marriage between the parties being a court marriage instead of a formal marriage; (iii) contradictions between the depositions of the petitioner and her maternal uncle; (iv) non-production of any receipt regarding purchase of

the articles claimed; (v) admission on the part of the petitioner that she herself left the house of her parents in three clothes and contracted a court marriage; and (vi) absence of proof of bringing dowry articles at the time of rukhsati.

Learned counsel has also attempted to place reliance on purported admissions in the written statement by the respondent; however, upon perusal of para Nos.3 and 8 of the written statement, learned counsel was unable to point out any admission on the part of the respondent, which, even otherwise, would not absolve the petitioner from proving the facts alleged in the plaint and the same was the conclusion drawn by my learned brother Mr. Justice Shamsuddin Abbasi while passing the order dated 03.01.2024.

In view of the above, the application does not call for any interference in the order dated 03.01.2024; therefore, the review application stands **dismissed**.

**JUDGE**

*\*Faisal\**