

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS
Crl. Bail Application No.S-29 of 2026

Applicants: 1. Naeem Shah Pathan s/o Ameer Muhammad.
2. Zahid Abbas s/o Fazal Muhammad Lakho.
3. Muhammad Shahzaib s/o Muhammad Ashraf.
Through Mr. Zafar Hayat Shah, Advocate.

Respondent: The State.
Through Mr. Dhani Bakhsh Mari, Assistant P.G.

Complainant: Shah Nawaz s/o Muhammad Hakim,
In person.

Date of hearing: 18.02.2026

Date of Order: 18.02.2026

ORDER

Miran Muhammad Shah, J: Through this Order, I intend to dispose of above-mentioned bail application, whereby the applicants/ accused seek post arrest bail in F.I.R No.02 of 2026 for offence punishable under sections 3,4,5 of the Sindh Prohibition of Interest on Private Loan Act, 2013 and 34 PPC (section 467 PPC added in the challan) of PS Shahdadpur, after rejection of their bail plea by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Shahdadpur, vide Order dated 24-01-2026.

2. The details and particulars of the F.I.R are already available in bail application and the F.I.R, as such, need not to reproduce the same hereunder.

3. The affidavit has been filed by the complainant of the case, wherein he has stated that dispute has been amicably settled between the parties outside the Court and now he has no grievance remaining against the applicants/ accused. He further states that he has no objection if the applicants/ accused are granted bail by this Court.

4. Learned counsel for the applicants states that even if the trial, which is since commenced, shall also result perhaps in acquittal of the applicants/ accused due to the statement of the complainant, therefore, there is no reason to keep the present applicants behind the bars.

5. Learned Assistant P.G strongly opposes this bail application on the ground that the alleged offence is non-compoundable, therefore, no objection affidavit filed by the complainant has no evidentiary value.

6. However, it is observed that the purpose of law in Sindh Prohibition of Interest on Private Loan Act, 2013 is to get the people their money back, which are stuck due to interest being charged privately by the lender(s). In this case, since the financial issue has been settled between the parties, which is affirmed by the complainant, who is present in the Court, therefore, the case of the applicants to that extent is decided, hence, present applicants/ accused are granted bail subject to furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/= (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) each and P.R.Bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of learned trial Court

7. The observations made in this decision are of a tentative nature and will not influence the merits of the case.

The instant bail application is disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE