

## **HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT MIRPURKHAS**

### **Criminal Bail Application No.S-384 of 2025**

**<><><><>**

Applicant: Abdul Majeed @ Maju s/o Sawan.  
Through Mr. Sikandar Ali Kolachi, Advocate.

Respondent: The State  
Through Mr. Dhani Bakhsh Mari,  
Assistant Prosecutor General Sindh.

Complainant: Nazeer Ahmed s/o Rano.  
Through Mr. Tarique Mehboob, advocate.

### **Criminal Bail Application No.S-383 of 2025**

Applicant: Abdul Majeed @ Maju s/o Sawan.  
Through Mr. Sikandar Ali Kolachi,  
Advocate.

Respondent: The State  
Through Mr. Dhani Bakhsh Mari,  
Assistant Prosecutor General Sindh.

Date of Hearing: 16.02.2026

Date of Order: 16.02.2026

**<><><><>**

### **O R D E R**

**Miran Muhammad Shah, J-**: Through above captioned bail applications, the applicant/accused namely Abdul Majeed @ Maju seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.91 of 2025 for offence under Sections 302, 324, 34 and 337-F(v) PPC and in crime No.98 of 2025 for offence under section 24 Sindh Arms Act, 2023 registered at Police Station Mangli, after dismissal of his bail plea by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I/MCTC, Sanghar, vide orders dated 14.11.2025 and 21.11.2025.

2. The details and particulars of the F.I.Rs are already available in bail application and the F.I.Rs, as such, need not to reproduce the same hereunder.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant/accused submits that the applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case; that the FIR was registered with the delay of 17 hours without any plausible explanation; that the alleged offence has been committed by the main co-accused Ali Hassan @ Imdad Ali and the applicant do not know him; that the applicant/accused was not arrest from the spot and no any incriminating material was recovered from his possession; that no motive or prior dispute is attributed to the applicant/accused; that role assigned to the applicant/accused is only to cause firearm injury on foot/non vital part of the injured; that nothing was recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused and the case property has been foisted upon the applicant/accused. Lastly, he prayed for the grant of bail.

4. Conversely, learned A.P.G and learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the applicant/accused on the ground that the applicant/accused has actively participated in the commission of the offence and is not entitled to the concession of bail.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicant/accused, learned counsel for the complainant and learned A.P.G for the State and perused the record.

6. It seems that the applicant/accused, in furtherance of common intention, has played a vital role in causing injuries to the injured person as shown in the FIR. Although this is a murder case, and the specific role attributed to the applicant/accused is that of causing injury to the injured, and the medico-legal certificate reflects an offence under Section 337-F(vi), PPC; however, the crime weapon has also been recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused, and the recovered empties have matched with the said weapon. All the co-accused persons who were

present at the spot are behind bars, and the case has already been challaned. As per learned counsel for the complainant, the applicant/accused has actively participated in the commission of the offence and is not entitled to the concession of bail.

7. In these circumstances, the applicant/accused has failed to made out his case for grant of bail and the aforementioned bail applications are hereby dismissed. However, the matter is already fixed for evidence before the learned trial Court and the learned trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within the period of two months and submit such report before this Court through Additional Registrar of this Court. However, the applicant is at liberty to file fresh bail application on any fresh ground.

8. The observations made here-in-above are tentative in nature and would not prejudice the case of either party at the trial.

The application stands disposed of.

**JUDGE**

\*Adnan Ashraf Nizamani\*