IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

Cr. Bail Application No.119 of 2026

Present:
Mr. Justice Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro
Mr. Justice Syed Fiaz ul Hassan Shah

Applicants:- Haji Khalil Ahmed and two others through Mr. Kashif Hussain vistro, Advocate.

Respondent:- The State through Mr. Ali Haider Salim, Additional Prosecutor General along with Inspector Rasheed Ahmed of P.S. Gulshan-e-Maymar, Karachi.

Date of hearing:- 12.02.2026

==========

MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO J: Allegedly, traffic police were conducting snap checking at Baba Mour, Khwaja Ajmer Nagri, Karachi on 04.06.2025 and SHO P.S. Manghopir was present at Nakka Chongi when he heard a policeman crying out for help coming from a truck. Said SHO with his team in mobile chased the truck and saved the traffic policeman who disclosed his name as Tilak Raj posted at Khawaja Ajmer Nagri Traffic Section, and further stated that he stopped the said truck for checking but the driver and cleaner abducted him and then on their intervention have released him.

2. While this conversation was going on 10/15 people came with weapons and sticks who started firing on police party which retaliated. In the ensuing firing PCs Abdul Razzak, Awais Babar and Yaqoob were injured. Later on, applicants No. 2 and 3 were arrested from the spot and from them sticks were recovered whereas applicant No. 1 was arrested after two days of FIR on the pointation of complainant.

3. As per medical certificate, as narrated by Additional Prosecutor General, injuries sustained by the police officials are minor in nature, mostly bruises, and are on non-vital parts of the bodies.

4. Learned defence counsel citing above grounds has pleaded for bail, opposed by learned APG and I.O.

5. During the arguments it is informed that applicant No. 1 is father, applicant No. 2 is his son and applicant No. 3 is his grandson. It seems that three generations of a family have been roped in this case. The story narrated otherwise that a traffic policeman was abducted in day time from busy area does not *prima facie* inspire confidence and needs to be tested at the trial. Besides, applicants were not found armed with any firearm weapon, hence their role insofar as section 324 PPC is concerned requires further inquiry. The evidence against applicant No. 1 is also *prima facie* weak as it is not on the record how the complainant, who is a police official, was able to identify him as an accused when he is not stated to be present at the spot.

6. We therefor find the case of the applicants requires further inquiry. Their bail application is allowed and applicants are granted bail subject to furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each and P.R. Bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

7. The Bail Application is disposed of accordingly. The observations herein above are tentative in nature and shall not affect the case of either party on merits before the trial Court.

JUDGE

HANIF JUDGE