

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR
Const; Petition No.S-310 of 2025

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

Application in disposed of case.
For hearing of CMA No.16/2026 (C/A)

09.02.2026

Mr. Shafique Ahmed Khan, Advocate, along with the petitioner.
Mr. Agha Athar Hussain, Assistant Advocate General.
Mr. Imran Mobeen Khan, Assistant Prosecutor General along with Atta Muhammad DSP (Legal), on behalf of DIGP Sukkur, Rafique Ahmed Soomro, SIP Ubaro.
.....

Through this contempt application, the petitioner has invoked the contempt jurisdiction of this Court against the proposed contemnor, DIGP Sukkur Range, contending that vide order dated 13.11.2025, this Court had directed the DIGP Sukkur Range to decide the petitioner’s application dated 23.10.2025 within a period of thirty (30) days and to submit a compliance report.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, instead of deciding the said application himself, the DIGP Sukkur Range referred the matter to a subordinate functionary, who ultimately decided the same. It is contended that such action amounts to non-compliance with the order passed by this Court. In support of his contention, learned counsel has drawn the attention of this Court to the annexures “A” and “C”, which reflect correspondence by the subordinate functionary regarding the petitioner's grievance.

On the other hand, learned Assistant Advocate General and learned Assistant Prosecutor General rely upon the compliance/submission report filed by one Atta Muhammad, DSP (Legal), on behalf of DIGP Sukkur Range. It is submitted that, as per the submission report, reliance has been placed on the order dated 29.12.2025 passed by DIGP Sukkur Range, which demonstrates that the

directions of this Court have duly been complied with. It is further contended that the contempt application is not maintainable.

After perusal of the entire material available on record, including the order annexed with the submission report submitted today through DSP (Legal), it is evident that the proposed contemnor has already decided the petitioner's application in compliance with the directions issued by this Court. As regards the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the matter was referred to a subordinate functionary, paragraph No.4 of the order clearly reveals that the DIGP Sukkur Range had only referred the matter for the purpose of conducting an inquiry and not for deciding the application itself.

In view of the above, since the order of this Court has been complied with, this contempt application bearing No.16 of 2026 is misconceived, having no substance, and is accordingly dismissed.

JUDGE

S.Nawaz(St)'