

**ORDER SHEET**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.**

C.P.No.D- 3362 of 2017

---

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

---

15.12.2025.

Mr. Mumtaz Sachal Awan, advocate for petitioner  
Mr. Rafique Ahmed Dahri Assistant Advocate General, Sindh  
=

Mr. S.M. Naveed Farooqui advocate holds brief for Mr. Irfan Ali Bhughio, advocate for respondent No.2, who is reported to be busy before another bench of this Court. While, learned counsel for the petitioner has filed statement along with copy of order dated 29.11.2023, passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in CPs No.1078-I of 2022 & others, an excerpt of the said order is reproduced herein below:-

*"After arguing the case at some length, the parties have arrived at a consensus that these petitions be disposed of in terms of the concession given by the petitioner-Authority before the Appellate Tribunal which is reproduced hereunder:*

11. *Learned counsel for the Appellant as well as its Chief Financial Officer, who was also holding charge of the Director General, stated that for the time being Respondents would be reinstated in service on contract basis as before and would be regularized as and when Appellant's financial conditions improved.*
2. *In addition to this, no further appointment shall be made either permanently or on contract basis until and unless the respondents are regularized as per the above commitment made by the petitioner-Authority. As far as the question of the date of regularization is concerned, the same shall be determined under the provisions of the Sindh (Regularization of Adhoc and Contract Employees) Act, 2013. However, the commitment of regularization by the petitioner-Authority cannot be left open endlessly, therefore, the petitioner shall give effect to the commitment of regularizing the services of the respondents within a period of one year from today.*
3. *On the basis of the above consensus, the impugned judgment is modified accordingly and these petitions are disposed of.*
4. *It is also pointed out that the legal question in these cases, i.e. whether Labour Court had the jurisdiction in the case of petitioner-Authority, has not been answered in these cases and shall remain open to be addressed in some other appropriate matter."*

To be fixed after two weeks after winter vacation.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Ahmed/Pa