

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Constitutional Petition No. D-41 of 2026

Hearing of case

For orders on the office objection at flag 'A'

For hearing of the main case

09.02.2026

Mr. Irfan Ali Soomro, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. Ali Raza Baloch, Additional Advocate General Sindh,
along with Muhammad Ali, Assistant Mukhtiarkar
(Revenue), Taluka Pano Akil.

The main grievance of the petitioner, through the instant petition, is that his agricultural land has not been verified, and in this regard, he seeks directions for verification of the said land as well as release of benefits under the Sindh Wheat Growers Support Program.

During the course of arguments, it was pointed out that an adequate and efficacious remedy is available to the petitioner under the law. For the purpose of verification of land, the petitioner may first approach the relevant revenue hierarchy. Furthermore, in the event of any adverse order, a complete revenue appellate hierarchy for redressal of grievances is available under sections 161 and 164 of the Sindh Land Revenue Act. However, the petitioner has not availed the said statutory remedies before invoking the Constitutional jurisdiction of this Court.

It is a settled principle of law that where an alternate and efficacious remedy is available, a constitutional petition is not maintainable, and direct invocation of constitutional jurisdiction, bypassing the remedies provided under the law, has consistently been deprecated by the Superior Courts. Reliance in this regard is placed upon the judgments reported as *Indus Trading and Contracting Company v. Collector of*

Customs (Preventive), Karachi and others (2016 SCMR 842); President, All Pakistan Women Association, Peshawar Cantt. v. Muhammad Akbar Awan and others (2020 SCMR 260); Commissioner Inland Revenue and others v. Jahangir Khan Tareen and others (2022 SCMR 92); and Executive Director (P&GS), State Life, Principal Office Karachi and others v. Muhammad Nisar, Area Manager, State Life Corporation of Pakistan, Peshawar Zone (2025 SCMR 249).

Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances, this petition, being without merit, is dismissed.

JUDGE

JUDGE

ARBROHI