

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR

Criminal Bail Application No. S-761 of 2025

Applicants : i. Barkat Ali s/o Qutbuddin Solangi
ii. Moazin Abbas s/o Barkat Ali Solangi
Through Mr. Athar Ali Mirani, Advocate

Complainant : Naib Hussain Solangi, in person

The State : Mr. Mansoor Ahmed Shaikh, Deputy
Prosecutor General, Sindh.

Date of hearing: 09.02.2026

Dated of order 09.02.2026

ORDER

KHALID HUSSAIN SHAHANI, J. – Applicants Barkat Ali and Moazin Abbas, both Solangi by caste, seek confirmation of the ad-interim pre-arrest bail granted to them vide order dated 26.08.2025 in respect of Crime No. 75 of 2025, registered at Police Station Sobhodero, District Khairpur, for offences under Sections 506(2), 427, and 34 PPC. Their earlier endeavors before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gambat, met with failure as their plea for pre-arrest protection was declined vide order dated 23.08.2025.

2. The substratum of the prosecution case as delineated in the FIR is that on 05.08.2025 at 1830 hours, the accused persons, namely Barkat Ali armed with *lathi*, Ali Muhammad wielding a pistol, Mozam with *lathi*, along with two unidentified persons, allegedly entered the public thoroughfare adjacent to Government Girls Primary School, Malheerani Solangi, where they subjected the complainant to physical assault, extended threats of dire consequences, and caused damage to the glass panes of a vehicle.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants contended with vehemence that his clients are blameless, their implication being the outcome of a prior

enmity acknowledged even by the complainant himself; that the offences alleged are *per se* compoundable; that the parties, being closely interrelated, have amicably resolved their discord beyond the walls of the Court; hence, the learned counsel beseeched for confirmation of the ad-interim relief.

4. Conversely, the learned Deputy Prosecutor General, as well as the complainant appearing *in propria persona*, have both recorded their unequivocal no objection to the confirmation of the pre-arrest bail, particularly in view of the compoundable nature of the alleged offences.

5. The record evinces that all sections invoked in the FIR are indeed compoundable. The complainant, Naib Hussain, himself an Advocate by profession, has sworn an affidavit declaring his no objection to the confirmation of pre-arrest bail, which stance he has reiterated upon Court's query. It is further signified that the parties intend to present a formal compromise before the trial court.

6. In the circumstances obtaining, and being persuaded by the material on record as well as the mutual settlement inter parties, I am of the considered view that the applicants have successfully brought their case within the fold of pre-arrest protection premised on compromise. Accordingly, the instant bail application stands allowed. The ad-interim pre-arrest bail earlier granted to the applicants vide order dated 26.08.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to the same terms and conditions, with further direction to the applicants to continue cooperating with the investigating agency and the learned trial court as required by law.

J U D G E