

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.
C.P.No.D-3037 of 2018

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

27.11.2025.

M/s. Muhammad Arshad S. Pathan and Sultan Ahmed Chandio, advocates for petitioners.

Mr. Rafique Ahmed Dahri Assistant Advocate General, Sindh.

Mr. Rajesh Kumar, advocate for respondents No.2 and 6

=

Both the parties seek disposal of this petition in terms of order dated 30.04.2025, passed by this Court in C.P.No.D-7631 of 2018. An excerpt of the order is reproduced as under:-

“The main grievance of the petitioners is that they were legally appointed against their respective posts in Sindh Technical Education & Vocational Training Authority (STEVTA). However, the respondents initiated inquiry proceedings against illegal appointments made in STEVTA and cancelled appointments of the appointees having less than 50% marks in the written test conducted by the NTS. On the first date of hearing i.e. 02.11.2018, which was about 7 years ago, this Court ordered that till next date of hearing, no final decision shall be taken against the petitioners.

According to learned counsel for the respondents No.2 to 4, the Board of Governors of STEVTA in its 22nd meeting held on 19.10.2018 passed decision on inquiry result. The petitioners being dissatisfied with the same have challenged such decision through the instant petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has filed statement, according to which matter was considered by Board of Governors of STEVTA in recent meeting held on 03.12.2024 in respect of 100 appointees and the same was referred to Law Department, Government of Sindh for opinion. The Law Department sent back the matter to Board of Governors for review.

Learned counsel for the petitioners would be satisfied if this Court directs the Board of Governors of STEVTA to make a decision on the review with regard to the petitioners' cases within 03 months from the date of this order through speaking order, which is not opposed by counsel for the respondents No.2 to 4. Order accordingly.”

Learned counsel further submits that the petitioners and other aggrieved persons have already gone through competitive process, serving in the department more than 13 years, without any stigma;

therefore, this statement may also be observed and BOG consider the case of petitioner and other aggrieved employee (similar placed) in accordance with law and MOU signed by the STEVTA and NTS at the relevant time. In view of such statement, this petition stands disposed of in the above terms

JUDGE

JUDGE