

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA

C.P No. D-99 of 2026

[Imam Bux & Another Versus Government of Sindh & Others]

along with
C.P No. D-100 of 2026
[Abdul Razaque Kolachi Versus Government of Sindh & Others]

<i>Date</i>	<i>Order with signature(s) of Judge(s)</i>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.P No.D-99 of 2026</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. For orders on M.A No.490/2026.2. For orders on office objection.3. For orders on M.A No.491/2026.4. For hearing of Main Case.
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.P No.D-100 of 2026</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. For orders on M.A No.488/2026.2. For orders on office objection.3. For orders on M.A No.489/2026.4. For hearing of Main Case.

04.02.2026

None present for the Petitioners.

-x-x-x-x-

1. Urgency disposed of.
- 2-4. Though the petitioners have referred to the son quota, the petitions are, in fact, for employment in Government service on the deceased quota under the erstwhile Section 11-A of the Sindh Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 1974. However, the said rule, along with similar provisions for making appointments on the deceased and son quota, has since been declared unconstitutional by the Supreme Court of Pakistan in the case of *General Post Office, Islamabad v. Muhammad Jalal* (PLD 2024 SC 1276). Therefore, no writ can issue for facilitating the employment of the petitioners on the deceased or son quota. The petitions are dismissed in *limine*.

JUDGE

JUDGE