

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI
Const. Petition No. S-1127 of 2025

Date	Order with signature(s) of Judge(s)
Hg: of Case.	<div>1. For orders on office objections.</div> <div>2. For hearing of main case .</div>

19.11.2025.

Mr. Ashfaq Ahmed, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. Rashid Hussain, Advocate for Respondent No.6,
Mr. Ali Zardari, Assistant Advocate General Sindh.

Through instant petition, petitioner has sought following relief(s):

- A. To Direct the Respondent No. 2 to pass directions to his subordinates police officials not lodged any false and bogus FIR against the petitioner and his Family Members on the instigation of Respondent NO. 5 & 6.
- B. To direct the Respondent No. 2 to produce if any FIR is registered at any police station in Sindh province and may not arrest the petitioner or any other family members.
- C. To direct the Respondent No. 1 to make ensure to protect the fundamental rights of petitioner and no further FIR's lodged anywhere in the limits of Pakistan
- D. To direct the Respondent No. 6 to provide the protection the repetitions and family members.
- E. To direct the Private Respondent No 5 & 6 not be caused any harassment or lodge any false FIR through these agents against the petitioner and family members.
- F) Grant any other relief deemed just and proper in the circumstances the case, in the interest of justice.

In terms of prayer clauses A to C, the petitioner has sought directions restraining police officials from registering any bogus FIRs. However, the aforesaid prayer clauses are in direct conflict with Section 154 CPC, therefore, cannot be granted to the petitioner. With regard to prayer clause D, the petitioner alleges that he is being harassed by the official respondents, including Arsalan Ansari, Excise Inspector. In response, Arsalan Ansari has filed objections to the petition and has undertaken that all actions shall be taken strictly in accordance with the law.

Since the petitioner seeks protection of the law, it is the obligation of the police officials to provide the same. As respondent No.6 is employed in the Excise Department, he is directed to conduct himself strictly in accordance with the law and shall not harass the petitioner. Regarding the allegation of the

petitioner sending messages to the wife of respondent No.6, needless to say that respondent No.6 may seek appropriate criminal and civil remedies against the petitioner before the competent court of law, if so advised. The parties are directed to conduct themselves strictly in accordance with the law and settle their disputes, including financial account by due course of law, and not to take the law into their own hands. In the event that any party takes law in hand, appropriate action may be taken strictly in accordance with the law.

Petition stands disposed of alongwith pending application(s).

JUDGE