## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

## **Present:**

Mr. Justice Adnan Iqbal Chaudhry Mr. Justice Muhammad Jaffer Raza

Constitution Petition No. D – 2853 of 2025 (Old Constitution Petition No.D-2065 of 2024)

Petitioner : Syed Sheeraz Ali Shah, called absent.

Respondents 1 to 3 : Province of Sindh, The Registrar, High

Court of Sindh, Karachi and Chairman Departmental Selection Committee (DSC), The then District & Sessions Judge, Sukkur,

through

Mr. Pervaiz Ahmed Mastoi, Additional

Advocate General.

Date of hearing : 22.10.2025.

Date of decision : 10-11-2025

## **JUDGMENT**

Muhammad Jaffer Raza J. – Perusal of the record reveals that the Petitioner was working as a copyist in the District and Sessions Court Sukkur since more than a decade and applied for three posts i.e. Bailiff BPS-05, Driver BPS-07 and Naib Qasid BPS-03 in light of advertisement dated 13.11.2023 issued by the Office of District and Sessions Judge Sukkur, but remained unsuccessful.

- 2. The Petitioner has sought directions to be appointed on any of the noted posts and further seeks declaration that the recruitment process initiated on various posts in District and Sessions Court Sukkur was illegal primarily on the ground that overage applicants were appointed.
- 3. Conversely, learned A.A.G. has argued that the recruitment process was done back in the year 2023 and it is not open for the Petitioner to question the entire process simply because he was unsuccessful. He has further

C.P. No. D - 2853 of 2025.

contended that the Petitioner has also not advanced any cogent reason for

involving the writ jurisdiction of this Court.

4. We have perused the record with the assistance of the learned A.A.G.

It is apparent from the perusal of the record, more particularly the comments

filed by Respondents 2 and 3 that the Petitioner out of the three posts applied

for, only appeared for examination for the post of Driver. Further the record

reveals that the entire contention of the Petitioner elucidated in paragraph

No.6 does not pertain to the post in which he appeared for examination. We

have also examined the minutes of the Departmental Selection Committee.

The said minutes reveal that against two posts of Drivers, 98 applications

were received out of which 45 eligible candidates, including the Petitioner

were called for written test. Only six (06) qualified. Out of them only two (02)

passed the driving test, which did not include Petitioner. [Note] (record does

not show whether he passed the written test or failed the driving test). After

conducting driving test were unanimously selected for posts. It is also noted

that the successful candidates were within the age limit advertised on

13.11.2023. Therefore, the primary ground for the challenge is without basis.

Even otherwise, the Petitioner has been unable to demonstrate any defect in

the recruitment process which would warrant interference of this Court in its

writ jurisdiction.

5. In light of what has been observed above, no case is made out in favour

of the Petitioner. Consequently, the instant petition is dismissed.

**JUDGE** 

**JUDGE** 

Karachi:

Dated: 10-11-2025

Page 2