

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA.
Constt: Pett: No.S- 117 of 2017.

Date	Order with signature of Hon'ble Judge
------	---------------------------------------

1. For orders on office objection as flag A.
2. For katcha peshi.

10.03.2017.

Petitioner is present in person.

Mrs. Kalpana Devi, Asstt: A.G a/w Ghulam Mustafa Nandwani,
SHO P.S Dilmurad, Khan Mohammad Buriro WHC, Mohammad
Ayoob Katohar, Operator IS Madadgar Base.

Respondent No.5 is present.

=====

In response to the notice, respondents No.1 to 3 file statements dated 10.3.2017 contending therein that they have not harassed the petitioner nor would harass him in future. They have stated in their statements that one Mashooque Ali Katohar has filed F.I.R No.8/2017 U/S 377-A(i), F(i), (ii), H(ii), 147, 148 & 149 PPC against the petitioner with P.S Dilmurad and the petitioner has already stood challaned in that case. He further submits that brother of the petitioner namely Barkat Ali was also challaned in F.I.R No.13/2011 U/S 324, 353, 148, 149 PPC of P.S Garhi Hassan. He therefore, submits that in order to save his skin the petitioner has filed instant petition against the police officials.

Rebutting the above contention, the petitioner states that he is a government servant working as Primary School Teacher and the F.I.R lodged by Khan Mohammad is police motivated as said Mohammad Khan is friend of police and at the instance of respondent No.1 he has got registered said criminal case through that Mohammad Khan in which case he has been granted pre arrest bail by the competent Court of law and attending the trial Court regularly. The petitioner further submits that inspite of his bail has been confirmed SIP Ghulam Mustafa Nandwani, SHO P.S Dilmurad raided upon his house and has taken away his buffaloe, motorcycle and certain valuables and rather exerting pressure upon him not to pursue against him and even if he would appear before this Court, he will be taken away and he will be implicated in false criminal cases.

Be that as it may, the petition has been brought only to seek direction against the harassment being caused by the official respondents and the official respondents present in court state that they have not harassed

the petitioner nor would harass him in future. They directed not to harass the petitioner and act strictly in accordance with law. In order to secure the ends of justice, let copy of this order be sent to SSP Jacobabad for compliance with direction to keep eagle eye upon movement of his subordinates not to cause unnecessary harassment to the innocent citizens and behave strictly in accordance with law. As regards the submission of the petitioner that the respondent No.1 has taken away his buffalo, motorcycle and certain valuables and still the same have not been returned, if that is so, which is an offence for which the petitioner is at liberty to file criminal case against the respondent No.1 before the competent court by filing application in terms of Section 22 A and B Cr.P.C. _____

JUDGE